

February 3, 2015

Project No. 14117-01

To:	Newport Center Anacapa Associates, LLC
	c/o Ridgeway Development Company
	2804 Lafayette Avenue
	Newport Beach, California 92663

Attention: Mr. Ron Soderling

Subject: Feasibility Report for Proposed Newport Center Condominium Site Development, 150 Newport Center Drive, City of Newport Beach, California

In accordance with your authorization, NMG Geotechnical, Inc. (NMG) has performed a feasibility study for the proposed condominium development at 150 Newport Center, in the City of Newport Beach, California. The primary purpose of our study was to provide a summary of the geologic and geotechnical conditions of the site to identify potential geotechnical issues that might impact the proposed re-development.

The project site is approximately 1.25 acres and is currently an active car wash with surrounding asphalt parking lot. The site is located at the southwest corner of Newport Center Drive and Anacapa Drive (Figure 1). We understand the proposed development will be a condominium complex consisting of three subterranean parking levels with seven-story residential building above the parking structure. We have reviewed a conceptual design package showing the current design scheme, prepared by MVE Partners and received by NMG on January 26, 2015. A recently flown and scribed topographic map was also provided by Fuscoe Engineering. A grading plan has not yet been prepared at this time.

The main geotechnical issues for the proposed subterranean development include:

- 1) The presence of varying earth units across the site; fill of varying composition, sandy marine terrace deposits, and potentially diatomaceous siltstone and sandstone bedrock.
- 2) The potential for presence of perched groundwater along the terrace/bedrock contact. This condition has been encountered at sites within the Fashion Island/Newport Center area (but was not reported during prior investigations in the adjacent properties, by NMG and others, as deep as 45.5 feet below ground surface).

- 3) The potential for presence of saturated soils at the fill/terrace contact. This was encountered across the street during the grading operations for the new restaurants.
- 4) The potential for presence of weathered/low density bedrock at the terrace/bedrock contact.

Based on our review, we conclude that the subject property is considered suitable for the future proposed development from a geotechnical viewpoint, provided the project is designed and constructed in accordance with the geotechnical considerations and recommendations.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact our office. We appreciate the opportunity to provide our services.

Respectfully submitted,

NMG GEOTECHNICAL, INC.

Anthony Zepeda, GIT 458 Senior Staff Geologist

Shahrooz "Bob" Karimi, RCE 54250 Principal Engineer

Levi Whight

Terri Wright, CEG 1342 Principal Geologist

AZ/TW/SBK/je

Distribution: (2) Addressee (3) Mr. Pat Fuscoe, Fuscoe Engineering (includes copies for agency submittal)





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	Purpose and Scope of Work1Site Location and Description1Site History and Prior Investigations2Proposed Development3
2.0	GEOTECHNICAL FINDINGS
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7	Geologic Setting
3.0	CONCLUSION AND PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS9
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	General Conclusion and Recommendation.9Grading Recommendations.9Temporary Excavations.10Building Foundations.10Settlement.10
3.6	Seismic Design Guidelines11
3.7	Expansion Potential
3.8	Cement Type for Construction
3.9	Surface Drainage and Irrigation
3.10	Geotechnical Investigation and Review of Future Plans
3.11	Geotechnical Observation and Testing During Grading and Construction

Appendices

- Appendix A References
- Appendix B Boring and Trench Logs
- Appendix C Laboratory Test Results
- Appendix D Seismic Parameters
- Appendix E General Earthwork and Grading Specifications

List of Attachments

- Figure 1 Site Location and Seismic Hazards Map Rear of Text
- Figure 2 Geotechnical Map on Existing Topographic Map (2015) Rear of Text
- Figure 3 Historic Topographic Map (1949-1951) Rear of Text
- Figure 4 Boring Location Map Rear of Text



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose and Scope of Work

In accordance with your request, NMG Geotechnical, Inc. (NMG) has prepared this feasibility report for the Newport Center Condominium Development, in the City of Newport Beach, California. The primary purpose of our study was to provide a summary of the geologic and geotechnical conditions of the site to identify potential geotechnical issues that might impact the proposed re-development. We have reviewed the conceptual design package prepared by MVE Partners, received by NMG on January 26, 2015. Fuscoe Engineering has also prepared a topographic map of the site portraying the current site conditions that was used as the base map for the Boring/Trench Location Map (Figure 2).

Our scope of work was as follows:

- Acquisition, review and analysis of available geotechnical reports and maps for the subject site and surrounding area. This included a search through the city of Newport Beach archives for the prior geotechnical work performed at and surrounding the site. A list of references is included in Appendix A.
- Review of historic aerial photographs dating back to the late 1930's. A list of the photographs reviewed is included in Appendix A.
- Compilation of laboratory test results by NMG and others from previous geotechnical investigations (Appendix C). Laboratory testing includes in-situ moisture and density, grain-size analysis, consolidation, shear strength, Atterberg limits, maximum density and optimum moisture content, and expansion index.
- Evaluation of faulting and seismicity in accordance with the 2013 California Building Code (CBC).
- Geotechnical review of the compiled data including the geologic and soil conditions. Preliminary engineering evaluation included settlement and liquefaction potential, and remedial grading, preliminary foundation and grading considerations.
- Preparation of illustrations including: a Site and Seismic Hazard Location Map (Figure 1), a Geotechnical Map on Existing Topographic Map (Figure 2), Historic Topographic Map (Figure 3) and a Boring Location Map (Figure 4) which provides a compilation of the boring and trench locations that were excavated at the site and on adjacent sites, from previous geotechnical studies by NMG and others.
- Preparation of this report with our findings, conclusions, and preliminary considerations and recommendations for the proposed condominium site.

1.2 Site Location and Description

The project site is approximately 1-1/4 acres in size and is bordered to the north by Newport Center Drive, to the east by Anacapa Drive, and to the south and west by existing office buildings and asphalt parking lots (Figure 1). The site is essentially flat, gently sloping toward the southwest. Elevations vary from a low of 158.5 feet above mean sea level (msl) in the south-southwest corner to a high elevation of 170.3 feet above msl in the northeast corner. Slopes and



retaining walls are located along the northern and eastern perimeter of the site, ascending up to Newport Center Drive and Anacapa Drive, varying in height from 2 to 8 feet. Drainage at the site sheet flows towards the south-southwest. Currently, there is an active car wash/service building in the center of the property, with asphalt paved parking lots surrounding the building.

1.3 Site History and Prior Investigations

Based on review of historic aerial photographs dating back to the late 1930s, the prior use for the subject site was for agricultural (ranching) activities through the mid-1960's when The Irvine Company graded and developed the surrounding Fashion Island/Newport Center area. By 1972, the subject site was in its current state, Fashion Island was built, and the majority of the adjacent streets were constructed or being graded. The adjacent office buildings to the west, and theatre to the east, within the 100 and 300 blocks of Newport Center Drive, were being constructed between 1972 and 1975. By 1992, the subject site and adjacent buildings are essentially in their current state.

The aerial photos suggest the site was originally graded in the mid-1960s with the Fashion Island grading; however, we have not been able to find a report for this grading. Subsequently, in the early 1970s the subject site was re-graded to the existing conditions and the car wash was constructed. The latter grading was relatively minor to create a level pad; we have not been able to find a copy of this report either.

Historically the subject site was a generally flat area located on a marine terrace/old wave-cut platform with elevations ranging from 140 feet above msl along the southwestern portion to an elevation of 160 feet above msl along the northeastern portion (Figure 3). A stream-cut draw trending northeast lies to the west of the subject site and can be seen in early United States Geological Survey (USGS) Topographic maps (USGS, 1949, 1950 and 1951) and on aerial photographs from 1939. This canyon was in-filled with artificial fill during early grading activities and was documented during prior investigations (W.A. Wahler, 1970 and G.A. Nicoll, 1972). Documentation of the early grading mentioned in these reports was not found during our search through the city of Newport Beach files.

Prior geotechnical investigations were performed by W.A. Wahler & Associates (1970) at the subject site prior to development of the car wash (Figures 2 and 4). This investigation included excavation of 5 exploratory test pits (trenches) across the subject site and collection of bulk and in-situ soil samples. Test pits were excavated up to 14 feet deep and encountered fill material and native soil. Fill material generally ranged in thickness from 9 to 14+ feet. In the western portion of the property the fill extended below a depth of 14 feet, native soil was not encountered.

Numerous geotechnical investigations have been performed by NMG and others within the vicinity of the subject site (Figure 4). NMG performed a geotechnical exploration for two restaurant pads north of the subject site, on the north side of Newport Center Drive (NMG, 2012a and 2012b). The exploration included excavation of five hollow-stem auger borings and laboratory testing to determine the engineering characteristics of the on-site soils. In 1972, G.A. Nicoll performed a geotechnical investigation for the adjacent six office buildings, southwest of

the subject site, which included excavation of 17 bucket-auger borings and laboratory testing. Moore & Taber performed a geotechnical investigation in 1975 for the bank building to the west, which included excavation of three bucket auger borings. Two geotechnical investigations were performed for expansion of the existing Edwards Theatre to the east of the site by Soils International (1988) and R.T. Frankian (1994) which included excavation of two and three hollow-stem auger borings, respectively.

The data from the prior investigations by NMG and others were reviewed for our study. Boring and trench logs are included in Appendix B and laboratory testing data are included in Appendix C.

1.4 **Proposed Development**

The proposed condominium development will consist of a large, three-story subterranean parking garage with an overlying seven-story residential condominium buildings above the parking garage. We understand there is a planned pool area on the seventh level of the planned building.

The lowest garage level will be at an elevation of 136 feet above mean sea level (msl) and nearly spans the footprint of the proposed condominium structure. This level is anticipated to overlie native soils and require excavations, up to 31 feet deep. The other garage levels and the residential building overlie this garage level. Entrance to the parking garage will be from the south of the building to the upper garage level.

2.0 GEOTECHNICAL FINDINGS

2.1 Geologic Setting

The site is located on the Newport Mesa, approximately ³/₄-mile inland from the ocean. The mesa highland is covered with coastal terrace deposits and is located at the southwestern end of the San Joaquin Hills. Mapping by the State (CDMG, 1981) indicates the site is underlain by Quaternary-age marine terrace deposits which overlie Miocene-age sedimentary bedrock of the Monterey Formation.

The Fashion Island/Newport Center area exhibits a configuration that is characteristic of a series of distinguishable elevated terraces and wave-cut platforms. The area has undergone regional uplift since deposition of the marine terrace deposits onto the ancient wave cut benches. These deposits were subsequently uplifted with the oldest deposits exposed along the higher, northern portion of the center and the lower/younger deposits located along the southern portion of the center. The subject site is located on the second elevated terrace deposit, mapped as Qtm2 by the State (Tan, 1976).

2.2 Earth Units

Our evaluation of the onsite data indicates that the site is underlain by marine terrace deposits and bedrock of the Monterey Formation. Existing artificial fill overlies these native deposits and was found to be 9 to 14+ feet thick at the subject site. These units are described below, in the order of youngest to oldest.

Artificial Fill (Af): Based on review of the prior geotechnical report at the site (W.A. Wahler, 1970), there is between 9 to 14+ feet of existing artificial fill across the site. The bottom of the existing fill was not encountered in their test pits excavated in the western portion of the site. The fill materials were found to consist of brown to dark brown and reddish brown sand, silty sand, and clayey sand that was generally damp to moist and medium dense. Gray to dark gray clay and sandy clays were also encountered and were found to be damp to moist and stiff to very stiff. Undisturbed samples of the artificial fill were collected during the investigation. In-situ dry densities for sandy fill material ranged from 108.8 pounds-per-cubic-foot (pcf) to 127.8 pcf with moisture contents ranging from 6.9 to 16.0 percent. In-situ dry densities for clayey fill material ranged from 86.3 pcf to 134.3 pcf with moisture contents ranging from 13.2 to 30.4 percent.

It appears little to no remedial removals were performed during the original grading at the subject site. The materials below the fill, at the top of the native marine terrace deposits, were described by W.A. Wahler as dark brown silty sand with undisturbed grass. It is anticipated that the existing fill and the terrace materials will be removed under the proposed building with the subterranean excavation.

Marine Terrace Deposit (Qtm): Quaternary-age marine terrace deposits underlie the existing artificial fill and overlie the Monterey Formation bedrock. These deposits consist primarily of yellowish brown, dark brown, reddish brown and grayish brown clean fine to medium sands with

local zones of silty and/or clayey fine to medium sands. The terrace deposits were encountered in two of the five test pits excavated by W.A. Wahler. The terrace material was found to be damp and medium dense. The basal portions of these deposits often contain rounded cobbles, fragments of the underlying bedrock, and sometimes shells. It is not known whether the terrace deposits underlie the fill in the southern portion of the site.

Monterey Formation (Tm): Bedrock of the Miocene-age Monterey Formation underlies the marine terrace deposits and generally consists of olive gray interbedded fine sandstone, siltstone and claystone. Bedding thickness varies from thin to laminated with localized thin beds of cemented siltstone (or shale, up to ½ inch thick). The bedrock underlying the wave cut bench near the contact is typically found to be highly weathered. Bedrock was not encountered during the geotechnical investigations at the subject site by W.A. Wahler. The marine terrace/bedrock contact at the site is estimated to be at elevations of 145 to 155 feet above msl, based on boring data by NMG (2012a and 2012b) and G.A. Nicoll (1972). Some of the siltstone within the Monterey Formation has been found to be diatomaceous and was encountered during a geotechnical exploration for the nearby Edwards Cinema to the east of the subject site (Soils International, 1988). The diatomaceous bedrock was generally medium stiff to very stiff, with low dry densities (67 to 87 pcf) and high moisture content (27 to 36 percent). The bedrock encountered to the north by NMG consisted of interbedded light gray to yellow brown sandstone and olive gray siltstone. The dry densities varied from 91.5 to 112 pcf and the moisture contents varied from 7.5 to 24.8 percent.

2.3 Geotechnical Conditions

The following includes a summary of the subsurface geotechnical conditions based on the laboratory test results performed on in-situ and bulk samples from previous investigations (Appendix C). The majority of these tests are from offsite investigations, but the results are summarized below.

Prior laboratory testing by W.A. Whaler for the onsite fill included:

- Field resistivity tests, indicating the corrosivity of the soils to metals, found the fill to have resistivity of 1435 ohm-cm (severe) to 2200 ohm-cm (moderate);
- pH was tested to be 6.8 (slightly acidic);
- Dry densities of 86.3 to 127.8 pcf and moisture contents of 6.9 to 30.4 percent;
- USCS classification of mostly SP, SW, SM, with some SW, SC, CL and CH; and
- Shear strength test indicating an angle of internal friction of 35 degrees and cohesion of 0.75 ksf.

Test results by NMG (2012a and 2012b) north of Newport Center Drive, included very low to low expansion potential in the fill with negligible sulfate potential. USCS classifications were mostly SM and SP, with some SC. The angle of internal friction of the fill varied from 29 to 31 degrees with 0 to 350 psf cohesion. Maximum densities ranged from 125 to 128.5 psf with optimum moistures ranging from 8.5 to 9.5 percent. The upper weathered portion of the terrace deposit was generally found to be more compressible than the fill.

As previously discussed, the composition of the bedrock underlying the site could vary between sandstone, siltstone, and diatomaceous siltstone. Since we believe the building will be founded in bedrock, our proposed investigation is intended to drill to deeper depths to determine the conditions of the bedrock underlying the site.

2.4 Regional Faulting, Seismicity, and Seismic Hazards

Regional Faults: The site is not located within a fault-rupture hazard zone as defined by the Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zones Act (Hart and Bryant, 2007) and no evidence of active faulting was found during our background study or during our prior work at Fashion Island. Also, based on mapping by the State (Jennings, 2010), there are no active faults mapped at the site.

Using the USGS Deaggregation computer program (USGS, 2013a) and the site coordinates of 33.612 degrees north latitude and -117.875 degrees west longitude, the closest major active faults to the site are the Newport-Inglewood Fault located 2.5 miles (4.1 km) to the south of the site and the San Joaquin Hills Thrust Fault located 3.4 miles (5.4 km) north of the site.

Seismicity: Properties in southern California are subject to seismic hazards of varying degrees depending upon the proximity, degree of activity, and capability of nearby faults. These hazards can be primary (i.e., directly related to the energy release of an earthquake such as surface rupture and ground shaking) or secondary (i.e., related to the effect of earthquake energy on the physical world, which can cause phenomena such as liquefaction and ground lurching). Since there are no active faults at the site, the potential for primary ground rupture is considered very low. The primary seismic hazard for this site is ground shaking due to a future earthquake on one of the major regional active faults.

The maximum moment magnitude for the Controlling Fault is 6.97, which would be generated from the San Joaquin Hills Thrust Fault.

Secondary Seismic Hazards: The site is not located in an area classified by the State as having soils that are potentially liquefiable or in a area mapped as susceptible to seismically induced landslides, based on the Seismic Hazard Maps (CDMG, 1998a and 1998b, Figure 1).

The potential for secondary seismic hazards, such as tsunami and seiche are considered very low to nil, as the site is located away from the ocean at an elevation of over 140 feet above mean sea level (msl) and outside of mapped tsunami inundation zones (CGS, 2009). The site is not located adjacent to a confined body of water; therefore, the potential for seismic hazard of a seiche (an oscillation of a body of water in an enclosed basin) is considered very low to nil.

2.5 Groundwater

The groundwater table and/or seepage were not encountered during the previous investigation by W.A. Wahler or during the investigations for the adjacent office buildings to a depth of 45 feet below ground surface. These studies were done in the 1970s prior to development at the site.

NMG also did not encounter groundwater in borings drilled to the north of the site to depths of up to 41 feet in 2012.

Perched groundwater seepage and wet soils have been found along the terrace-bedrock contact at many sites in and around Newport Center. Only wet conditions were found near this contact in the borings by NMG in 2012. The perched groundwater and/or wet soils are interpreted to be the result of infiltration and return-flow of irrigation water and rainwater into up-gradient sandy terrace deposits which becomes perched on the relatively less permeable bedrock. The water then travels laterally down gradient along the contact and down through fractures in the bedrock and through the sandstone beds, where present.

During grading to the north of the site, wet soils were encountered along the fill-terrace contact during grading observed by NMG. This material required utilization of excavators. Perched groundwater has also been found to extend into the weathered/fractured bedrock below the contact at nearby sites.

2.6 Settlement and Foundation Considerations

The site is underlain by three earth units including 1) marine terrace deposits which are primarily sandy, 2) sandstone and siltstone of the Monterey formation at depth, and 3) compacted fill near surface. Based on our preliminary background investigation, the lowest garage floor, Level 3, will be founded on bedrock. Garage Levels 1 and 2 will be entirely overlying Level 3.

The amount of settlement expected will depend upon the type of foundation(s) selected. Our preliminary settlement analyses for this study indicate the total consolidation (static) settlement may be on the order of $1\frac{1}{2}$ -inches for column loads of up to 1,000 kips and allowable bearing capacity of 4,000 psf. The differential settlement is expected to be on the order of $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch over a 30-foot span

2.7 Temporary Slope Stability

Temporary cut slopes for this project will expose varying earth materials and potential seepage. The excavation for construction of building and perimeter retaining walls will be up to 31 feet high. These excavations will be close to the property line along the south and west sides and 15 feet from the adjacent road right-of-ways along the north and east sides of the building.

These temporary slopes for the garage will expose up to 20 feet of bedrock, with an estimated 2 to 8 feet of terrace deposits and up to 14+ feet of artificial fill. There may be local seepage and wet sands within the fill/terrace and terrace/bedrock contacts. Locally, these slopes could slough or potentially slump along the contact. The bedding orientation in the bedrock is not known at this time. As a result, we are recommending at least two bucket auger borings at the site that will extend to at least 20 feet below the proposed subgrade. These borings will be downhole logged to determine the geologic structure in the bedrock.

The onsite fill and terrace sands have a high potential for erosion (during rainy periods or uncontrolled runoff). These deposits are considered subject to gross instability in vertical excavations. Therefore, temporary shoring with lagging will need to be designed for the site. NMG will provide shoring design recommendations after the future onsite investigation. It will also be important that the excavations be mapped by an engineering geologist during excavation.

3.0 CONCLUSION AND PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 General Conclusion and Recommendation

Based on our preliminary due diligence study, the site is geotechnically suitable for the proposed development. The most significant geotechnical constraint at the site is the presence of varying earth units and potential for perched groundwater. Geologic hazards related to regional earthquake potential (seismic shaking) are not any greater than at other comparable sites in the vicinity. The site is not located in a seismic hazard zone for potential liquefaction or seismically induced landslides.

We recommend that a site specific geotechnical investigation be performed at the site to better assess the site conditions and provide recommendations for design, grading and construction. The proposed investigation will include drilling, sampling and downhole logging of two bucket auger borings, and drilling, sampling and logging of three hollow stem borings. In addition to the following recommendations, General Earthwork and Grading Specifications are provided in Appendix E.

3.2 Grading Recommendations

Prior to grading, the site should be cleared of heavy vegetation and deleterious materials (including asphalt pavement, concrete and existing utility pipelines to be removed) and disposed of offsite. The proposed excavation to construct the subterranean parking structure is anticipated to remove weathered fill and near-surface soils in the vicinity of the building. The bottom level of parking is planned to cover the majority of the site, so there would be little removals around the building; the extended flatwork on grade around the building is anticipated to be placed on compacted backfill materials.

There are varying soil types anticipated to be exposed in the building excavation. The subgrade for Level 3 is anticipated to expose bedrock. The composition of the bedrock may have differing expansion potential. If such condition is observed during the site investigation and/or grading operations, the subgrade soils may need to be overexcavated to a depth of 3 to 5 feet below subgrade and replaced with uniform, low expansion potential soils (i.e., the sandy fill and sandy terrace deposits). Onsite soil materials with the exception of highly expansive clays are considered suitable as fill materials below the building slabs and footings. The soils should be mixed to provide a uniform blend of material; sands and clays. Placement of soils with dissimilar expansion potential should be avoided.

The overexcavation bottom (if any) should be scarified a minimum of 6 inches, moistureconditioned as needed, and compacted in place prior to placement of fill materials. Fill materials should be placed in maximum 8-inch-thick lifts, moisture-conditioned, and compacted to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction in accordance with ASTM Test Method D1557.

3.3 Temporary Excavations

As previously discussed, the excavations around the building will vary in depth up to 31 feet along the majority of the site perimeter. If overexcavation of the subgrade soils is needed, the heights of these temporary excavations will be greater. These slopes will expose varying earth units and possibly adverse bedding and/or groundwater seepage. There are also utility trenches around the building that might have differing soil types used as backfill, including bedding and shading sands. These materials, when exposed, are considered Type C soils per Cal/OSHA regulations and should be excavated at 1.5H:1V or flatter, with no vertical excavation. Due to the depth of the excavation, it is anticipated that temporary shoring with lagging will be needed. In addition, due to the height of the shoring, it is likely that tie backs may be recommended by the shoring designer. Permission would be needed from the adjacent property owners to use these temporary tie-backs. Alternatively, shoring could be designed with rackers and braces; as cantilever shoring with deeper caissons; or other methods.

Excavations located adjacent to existing structures (roadways and utilities) should be reviewed periodically by the geotechnical consultant to evaluate the potential for failure. If evidence of instability (such as ground cracks or failures) is observed, then recommendations for additional shoring or other appropriate measures will be provided.

3.4 Building Foundations

The type of building foundations for the site will depend on the anticipated column loads for the structure and the potential compressibility of the supporting soil/bedrock materials. For preliminary design of shallow foundations, a net allowable bearing capacity of 1,800 psf may be assumed for a 12-inch-wide footing embedded 12 inches below the lowest adjacent grade. The allowable bearing pressure may be increased by 500 psf for every additional foot of embedment and by 200 psf for every additional foot of width to a maximum of 4,000 psf. The allowable bearing pressure may be increased by one-third for wind and seismic loading. We recommend that strip and isolated footings have a minimum embedment depth of 24 inches. For lateral resistance against sliding, a friction coefficient of 0.35 may be used at the soil-foundation interface. In addition, for large foundations and mat type slabs (if any), the subgrade modulus of reaction may be assumed to be 75 pci.

The foundations and slab-on-grade should be designed for a total and differential settlement presented below.

3.5 Settlement

The amount of settlement expected will depend upon the type of foundation(s) selected and the type and extent of the soil improvements. Our preliminary settlement analysis is based on the proposed excavations and remedial grading anticipated at the site, the assumed column loads of up to 1,000-kips for the proposed structure and allowable bearing capacity of 4,000 psf. The total and differential settlement for the proposed improvements at the site is expected to be on the order of $1\frac{1}{2}$ - inches and $\frac{3}{4}$ - inch over a 30-foot span, respectively. For loads significantly



greater than 1,000-kips, or for smaller differential settlement requirements, alternative foundations, such as deep foundations or mat slabs and foundations may be required.

3.6 Seismic Design Guidelines

The seismic design criteria based on the 2013 California Building Code (CBC) is presented in the following table:

Selected Seismic Design Parameters from 2013 CBC/ASCE 7-10	Seismic Design Values	Reference
Latitude	33.612 North	
Longitude	117.875 West	
Nearest Seismic Source	Newport-Inglewood Fault	USGS 2013a
Distance to Nearest Seismic Source	2.5 Miles (4.1 km)	USGS 2013a
Site Class per Table 20.3-1 of ASCE 7-10	D	USGS, 2013b
Spectral Acceleration for Short Periods (Ss)	1.681 g	USGS, 2013b
Spectral Accelerations for 1-Second Periods (S1)	0.615 g	USGS, 2013b
Site Coefficient F _a , Table 11.4-1 of ASCE 7-10	1.0	USGS, 2013b
Site Coefficient F _v , Table 11.4-2 of ASCE 7-10	1.5	USGS, 2013b
Design Spectral Response Acceleration at Short Periods (S_{DS}) from Equation 11.4-3 of ASCE 7-10	1.120 g	USGS, 2013b
Design Spectral Response Acceleration at 1-Second Period (S_{D1}) from Equation 11.4-4 of ASCE 7-10	0.615 g	USGS, 2013b
Peak Ground Acceleration (MCE _R) Corrected for Site Class Effects from Equation 11.8-1 of ASCE 7-10	0.685 g	USGS, 2013b
Seismic Design Category, Section 11.6 of ASCE 7-10	D	USGS, 2013b

3.7 Expansion Potential

Based on laboratory testing, the expansion potential of onsite soils is anticipated to generally range from "Very Low" to "Medium" within the terrace and existing fill materials. Soils with "High" expansion are likely to be encountered in the siltstone/claystone of the Monterey Bedrock. Additional laboratory testing should be performed during the recommended geotechnical investigation to determine the expansion potential of the bedrock and also following completion of grading operations around the building to determine the expansion potential of the near-surface soils.

3.8 Cement Type for Construction

Laboratory test results indicate that the soluble sulfate content of current subgrade soils are generally in the negligible range. Additional laboratory testing should be performed during the recommended geotechnical investigation and following completion of grading operations to determine the soluble sulfate content to be used for design of concrete in contact with the soil in compliance with Table 4.3.1 of ACI-318.

3.9 Surface Drainage and Irrigation

Inadequate control of run-off water, heavy irrigation after development of the site, or regional groundwater level changes may result in shallow groundwater conditions where previously none existed. Maintaining adequate surface drainage, proper disposal of run-off water, and control of irrigation will help reduce the potential for future moisture-related problems and differential movements from soil heave/settlement.

Surface drainage should be carefully taken into consideration during grading, landscaping, and building construction. Positive surface drainage should be provided to direct surface water away from structures and slopes and toward the street or suitable drainage devices. Ponding of water adjacent to the structures should not be allowed. Buildings should have roof gutter systems and the run-off should be directed to parking lot/street gutters by area drain pipes or by sheet flow over paved areas. Paved areas should be provided with adequate drainage devices, gradients, and curbing to prevent run-off flowing from paved areas onto adjacent unpaved areas.

Foundation performance is also dependent upon maintaining adequate surface drainage away from structures. The minimum gradient within 5 feet of the building will depend upon surface landscaping. In general, we suggest that unpaved lawn and landscape areas have a minimum gradient of 2 percent away from structures. Consideration should be given to concrete flatwork construction adjacent to the building.

Construction of planter areas immediately adjacent to structures should be avoided if possible. If planter boxes are constructed adjacent to or near buildings, the planters should be provided with controls to prevent excessive penetration of the irrigation water into the foundation and flatwork subgrades. Provisions should be made to drain excess irrigation water from the planters without saturating the subgrade below or adjacent to the planters. Raised planter boxes may be drained with weepholes. Deep planters (such as palm tree planters) should be drained with below-ground, water-tight drainage lines connected to a suitable outlet. Moisture barriers should also be considered.

It is also important to maintain a consistent level of soil moisture, not allowing the subgrade soils to become overly dry or overly wet. Properly designed landscaping and irrigation systems can help in that regard.

3.10 Geotechnical Investigation and Review of Future Plans

Once a grading plan becomes available, it should be reviewed by the geotechnical consultant. Additional geotechnical investigation is recommended and additional analysis will be necessary for building foundation design in relation to potential settlements and for shoring design for the subterranean structure. The geotechnical consultant will need to work closely with the structural engineer and project team during design. Once the building/grading plan is available, the final geotechnical recommendations for remedial grading and structural design will be provided. A geotechnical grading plan review report should be submitted to the city of Newport Beach for their review and approval prior to issuance of a grading and construction permit.

3.11 Geotechnical Observation and Testing During Grading and Construction

Geotechnical observation and testing should be performed by the geotechnical consultant during the following phases of grading and construction:

- During site preparation and clearing;
- During earthwork operations, including remedial removals and fill placement;
- Upon completion of any excavation for buildings or retaining walls prior to pouring concrete;
- During slab and pavement subgrade preparation (including presoaking), prior to pouring of concrete;
- During and after installation of subdrains for retaining walls and building subgrade;
- During placement of backfill for utility trenches and retaining walls; and
- When any unusual soil conditions are encountered.





Liquefaction

Areas where historic occurrence of liquefaction, or local geological, geotechnical and groundwater conditions indicate a potential for permanent ground displacements such that mitigation as defined in Public Resources Code Section 2693(c) would be required.



Earthquake-Induced Landslides

Areas where previous occurance of landslide movement, or local topographic, geological, geotechnical and subsurface water conditions indicate a potential for permanent ground displacements such that mitigation as defined in Public Resources Code Section 2693(c) would be required.

SITE LOCATION AND S	EISMIC HAZARDS MAP	N
	GEOLOGY SEISMIC HAZARDS MA EACH AND TUSTIN QUADRANGLE 8 and January 17, 2001	
NEWPORT CENTER CONDOMINIUMS 150 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA	Project Number: 14117-01 Project Name: NCAA / 150 NCD Date: 2-3-15 Figure No. 1	NMG Geotechnical, Inc.







Boring Location Map

THE STORE

Newport Center Condominiums Newport Beach, California

Figure 4

SIB-2

SIB-

Project Name: NCAA/150 Newport Center Drive Project No.: 14117-01

9

Decles

San Miguel Dr

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX A REFERENCES

- California Division of Mines and Geology, 1980, Classification and Mapping of Quaternary Sedimentary Deposits for Purposes of Seismic Zonation, South Coastal Los Angeles Basin, Orange County, California, Open File Report 80-19 L.A., September 1980.
- California Division of Mines and Geology, 1981, Geologic Map of Orange County, California, Showing Mines and Mineral Deposits, Bulletin 204, Plate 1.
- California Division of Mines and Geology, 1997a, Seismic Hazard Zone Evaluation Report for the Anaheim and Newport Beach 7.5-Minute Quadrangles, California, Seismic Hazard Zone Report 03.
- California Division of Mines and Geology, 1997b, Seismic Hazard Zone Evaluation Report for the Laguna Beach 7.5-Minute Quadrangle, California, Seismic Hazard Zone Report 013.
- California Division of Mines and Geology, 1998a, Seismic Hazard Zones, Laguna Beach Quadrangle, Official Map dated April 15, 1998.
- California Division of Mines and Geology, 1998b, Seismic Hazard Zones, Newport Beach Quadrangle, Official Map dated April 15, 1998.
- California Division of Mines and Geology, 2001, Seismic Hazard Zones, Tustin Quadrangle, Revised Official Map dated January 17, 2001.
- California Geologic Survey, 2009, Tsunami Inundation Map for Emergency Planning, Newport Beach Quadrangle, Official Map dated March 15, 2009.
- G.A. Nicoll & Associates, 1972, Report of Foundation Investigation, Six Proposed Office Buildings, Block 100, Newport Center Drive East, Newport Center, Newport Beach, California, Project 1010, dated November 1972.
- Hart, E. W. and Bryant, W.A., 2007, Fault Rupture Hazard Zones in California, Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act with Index to Earthquake Fault zones Maps, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, Special Publication 42, Revised 1997, Interim Revision 2007.
- Jennings, Charles W., 2010, Fault Activity Map of California and Adjacent Areas, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, Geologic Data Map No. 6
- Moore & Taber, 1975, Foundation Investigation, Glendale Federal Savings, 100 Newport Center Drive, Newport Beach, California, Job No. 175-507, dated January 31, 1975.
- Morton, P.K., et. al., 1979, Environmental Geology of Orange County, California, California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, OFR 79-08.

APPENDIX A

REFERENCES (Continued)

- NMG Geotechnical, Inc., 2012a, Geotechnical Exploration and Review of Rough Grading Plan for Fashion Island Restaurant Pads B and C, City of Newport Beach, California, Project No. 08034-03 and -04, dated May 17, 2012.
- NMG Geotechnical, Inc., 2012b, Summary of Geotechnical Exploration and Fill Evaluation Related to Pads "B" and "C", Fashion Island, City of Newport Beach, California, Project No. 08034-03, -04, and -06, dated July 6, 2012.
- R.T. Frankian & Associates, 1994, Foundation Investigation, Proposed Edwards Big Newport Cinemas Tri-Plex Addition, 300 Newport Center Drive, Newport Beach, California, Job No. 94-029-A, dated August 18, 1994.
- Soils International, Inc., 1988, Geotechnical Investigation Report, Edwards Theatre, Newport Center Drive, Newport Beach, California, Project S-1093-F, dated April 18, 1988.
- Tan, S.S., and Edgington, W.J., 1976, Geology and Engineering Geologic Aspects of the Laguna Beach Quadrangle, Orange County, California, California Division of Mines and Geology Special Report 127.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1949, Laguna Beach Quadrangle, Orange County, California, 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic).
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1950, Tustin Quadrangle, Orange County, California, 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic).
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1951, Newport Beach Quadrangle, Orange County, California, 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic).
- U. S. Geological Survey, 2013a, 2008 Interactive Deaggregations Program; web site address: https://geohazards.usgs.gov/deaggint/2008/
- U.S. Geological Survey, 2013b, U.S. Seismic Design Maps, web site address: http://geohazards.usgs.gov/designmaps/us/application.php
- W.A. Wahler & Associates, 1970, Soil and Geologic Investigation for Newport Center Car Wash, Newport Beach, California, Project No. 0461, dated January 1970.

Date	Photograph	Source
10-14-1939	5925-112	Continental Aerial Photo
11-18-1952	AXK-1K-43	Continental Aerial Photo
1-13-75	157 7-23	Continental Aerial Photo
1-20-1992	C85-13-20	Continental Aerial Photo

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS REVEIWED

APPENDIX B

TEST PITS BY

W.A. WAHLER & ASSOCIATES (1970)

FOR EXISTING CARWASH

	U	IFIED SOLL		FICATION	SYSTEM (ASTN 0-	2467)		97 S. S. S. S.
PRIN	ANY DIVISIONS		STABUL		SE	ONDARY	NIVI	SIGNS	13.1925
ALS	S MALF Malf Ction	CLEAN GRAVELS	CT .	WELL GRA	OEB GRAVES.	S. GRAVEL		runes, Lir	TLE DA NE
	BRAVELS TRAN MALF OF OF Stration 15 Steve Steve	SH FINES)	QP	FOORLY G NG FIN	RABED SNAV IET.	ELS OR GR	AVEL SAND	MFRTURES,	LITTLE OR
GRAINED SOILS Malf of Mater Mar 9200 Ser than \$200 Eve sieze	RAN BRAN WORE TH COARSE LANGER	G AVEL	GM	Silting!	AVELT, GRA	VEL-SAND-	SILT MEXT	URE. NON-	PLASTIC
F OF M	FINES	86	CLAVEY &	AAVELS, SR		GLAY NIX	TUNES. PL	ASTIC FINES.	
SE GRAII AN NALF LAREER SIEVE S	ALS.	CLEAN	58	WELL GRA	DED SANDS,	BRANKLLY	RANDS, L	ITTLE OR N	O FINES.
COARSE E THAN 12 LAS SI	SANDS NDRE THAN HALF OF Coanse Fraction Sualler Than 24 Staller Start 24	(LESS THAN S& FINES)	SP	POGRLY C	AADED SANG		ELLY SAND	S. LITTLE	OR NO FINES
ROAR C	BUNE TY BUNE TY	SANGS	8.8	SILTY SA	NDS. SAND-	SILT NIXT	UAES. NO	N-PEASTIC	FINCS.
-	MORE COARS	FINES	SC	CLAVET S	ANOS, SAND	-CLAY MIX	TURES. P	LASTIC FIN	fs,
			ML	INDRUARI CLIVEY	FINE SAND	B VERY FI	RE SANDS. EV SILTE	ROCH FLOW	R. SILTY DR T PLAFTICIT
501LS Material \$200 \$	CLATS &	LEQUID LIMIT LIMIT IS LESS THAN SO	CL	INCREAMI	C CLAYS OF	LOR TO M	EOTUM PLA	STICITY. 8	
60	57		OL		SILTS AND				LASTICITY.
FINE GRAINED SOILS Than Half of Mater Fralfer Than \$200 Sieve Size	-	LIAUIB LIMIT S GREATER THAN 30	MH		C #1LTE, #			ACEOUS FIN	E BANKY OR
ALL SALE	SILTS A	LIQUID LIQUID CAEA	CH		CLAVE SP			FAT CLAVE.	
		- "=	GN	BREAKIC	CLAYS OF		NIGH PLAS		SARIE SILTS
1 1	HIGHLY DA		PT	PEAT ANG			IC BOILS.		
SILTS & CLAYS WISHED ON BAS LASTICITY	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE	U.S. STANDA 50	NRD SERIE Sand Edium	IB COAR	ZES +	CLEA 3/4 GRAV FINE	EL COANCE	COBBLES	0"
LASTICITY	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE	U.S. STANDA 50	NRD SERIE Sand Edium	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COAR	ZES +	CLEA 3/4 GRAV FINE	4 ¹⁰ EL COANCE -)	3"	8 BULDERS
LASTICITY	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE	U.S. STANDA 50 MOISTURE C DAMP	RD SERIE SAND EDIUM DHO [T] O	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COARI IN (INCREA	ZES +	CLEA 3/4 GRAV FINE URE VERY WOI	4 ¹⁰ EL COANCE -)	J" COBBLES WET (SATU (LL)	8 BULDERS
DRY	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE SLIGHTLY DAMP	U.S. STANDA 50 MOISTURE C DAMP	IRD SERIE SAND EDIUM DHD [T] O (PL)	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COARI IN (INCREA	ZES <u>*e</u> .sing Koist	CLEA 3/4 GRAV 7 INE URE VERY MOI	4 ⁶⁹ EL COANCE -) ST	3" COBBLES WET (SATU (LL) DENSITY	8 BULDERS
DRY	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE SLIGHTLY DAMP CONSIS & SILTS	U.S. STANDA 50 MOISTURE C DAMP BTENCY	IRD SERIE SAND EDIUM DHD [T] O (PL)	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COARI IN (INCREA MUIST	ZES <u>*e</u> .sing Koist	CLEA 3/4 GRAV TINE URE VERY WOI BANDS 5	EL COANCE -) ST RELATIVE	3" COBBLES WET (SATU (LL) DENSITY	B ^H BOULDERS RATED)
URY URY CLAYS Very Se	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE SLIGHTLY DAMP CONSIS & SILTS Solt ft	U.S. STANDA 50 MOISTURE C OAMP STENCY BLOWB/FOU	IRD SERIE SAND EDIUM (PL) DYO	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COAR NO (INCREA MUIST STRENGTH	ZES <u>*e</u> .sing Koist	CLEA 3/4 GRAV 7 INE URE VERY WOI BANDS 6 Very	644 COANCE C	3" COBBLES WET (SATU (LL) DENSITY	0" 00ULDERS RATED) BLORS/FOOT*
CLAYS Very Je Fi	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE SLIGHTLY DAMP CONSIS & SILTS Solt ft	U.S. STAND/ 50 MOISTURE C DAMP STENCY BLOWS/FOI 0 - 2 2 - 4 4 - 8	IRD SERIE SAMO EDIUM IDMO ITIO (PL)	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COAR NUIST STRENATH 0 - 1/4 1/2 - 1/2 1/2 - 1	ZES <u>*e</u> .sing Koist	CLEA 3/4 GRAV 7 INE URE VERY WOI BANDS 6 Very Lo	44 EL COANCE -) ST RELATIVE GRAVELS LOUBS	3" COBBLES WET (SATU (LL) DENSITY	0" 9804.0685 RATED) BLORS/FOOT+ 0 - 4
CLAYS Very Se	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE SLIGHTLY DAMP CONSIS & SILTS Soft ft iff	U.S. STAND/ 50 MOISTURE C DAMP STENCY BLOWS/FOI 0 - 2 2 - 4	IRD SERIE SAMO EDIUM IDMO ITIO (PL)	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COAR W (INCREA MUIST STRENATH 0 - 1/4 1/2 - 1/2	ZES <u>*e</u> .sing Koist	CLEA 3/4 GRAV TINE URE VERY Wal VERY Wal SAMDS 5 Very Lo Wedlum	44 EL COANCE COANCE COANCE ST ST RELATIVE GRAVELS LOUGS LOUGS	3" COBBLES WET (SATU (LL) DENSITY	80000000000000000000000000000000000000
URY URY CLAYS Vory Jo Fl St Vory Ho	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE SLIGHTLY DAMP CONSIS & SILTS Sait ft ft ft ft ft ft ft ft ft ft ft ft ft	U.S. STAND/ 50 MOISTURE C OAMP STENCY BLOWE/FOU 0 - 2 2 - 4 4 - 8 6 - 10 18 - 32 Over 32	IRD SERIE	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COAR MUIST STRENGTH: 0 = 1/4 1/2 = 1 1 - 2 2 - 4 Byor 4	ZES <u>*e</u> \$SING #0157	CLEA 3/4 GRAV FINE URE VERY WOI SAMDS 6 Very Lo Wedlum Doi Very	44 EL COANCE COANCE COANCE ST ST BELATIVE GRAVELS LOUGO 075 Dance 075 Dance 075 Dance 075	UET (SATU (LL) DENSITY	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
CLAYS CLAYS Very Se Very He CLAYS	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE SLIGHTLY DAMP CONSIS & SILTS Solt ft rm iff Stiff rd faste of 14 of blows of 14	U.S. STAND/ 50 MOISTURE C OAMP BLOWS/FOI 0 - 2 2 - 4 4 - 8 6 - 10 18 - 32 Over 32 0 pound hem 8).	IRD SERIE SAMO EDIUM IDMOITIO (PL)	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COAR COAR MUIST STRENATH 0 - 1/4 1/2 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 4 EVOT 4 Ing 30 Inc	ZES xe xsina waist t t ches 1e dr	CLEA 3/4 GRAV TINE URE VERY MOI BAMDS & Vory La Medium Doi Vory	44 EL COANCE COANCE COANCE ST ST ST RELATIVE BRAVELS LOUDS LOUDS Dance Dance Dance Conno Con	UET (SATU (LL) DENSITY	81082/F001* 0 - 4 4 - 10 10 - 30 30 - 50 6ver 50
CLAYS Very Je Fi St Very Ha • Number split spc	200 DISTIN- IS OF FINE SLIGHTLY DAMP CONSIS & SILTS Saft ft ft ft stiff rd rd flowe of 14 rof blowe of 14 rof blowe of 14 rof blowe of 14	U.S. STANDA 50 MOISTURE C OAMP BLENCY BLOWS/FOI 0 - 2 2 - 4 4 - 6 6 - 10 16 - 32 Over 32 0 ver 32 0 ver 32 0 ver 32	ARD SERIE SAMO EDIUM (PL) (PL) (PL) (PL) (PL) (PL) (PL) (PL)	GRAIN SIZ ES SIEVE JB COAR COAR MUIST O = 1/4 1/2 = 1 1 - 2 2 - 4 Dver 4 Log 30 Log /og 11. R	ZES <u>se</u> sing woist t t ches to dr end from a	CLEA 3/4 GRAV TINE URE VERY Mai BAMDS 5 Very La Wedlum Doi Very ive o 2 h packat m	44 EL COANCE COANCE COANCE ST ST RELATIVE BRAVELS LOUBS 0.75 Dance nae Dance nae Dance nae	UET (SATU (LL) DENSITY	8 ¹⁰ 800LDERS RATED) 810WS/F00T* 0 - 4 4 - 10 10 - 30 30 - 50 6var 50 h 1.0)

の「「「「「「「「「」」」」

LOGS OF BACKHOE PITS

観花しアモニ

A AND A

TEST PIT NO.	DEPTH	1	DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE*
1	0-4.5	SC), and CLA 2" to 6" thi dense, and f	(SP & SW), Clayey SAND (SW Y (CL) in horizontal layer ck. Brown, damp, medium irm. Sparse scattered hard and cobbles.	8
	4.5-9	(SP-SC) laye	(SP) with a few Clayey SAN rs. Layers horizontal, 1" Brown, slightly damp, med	UG6'
	9-10	dark brown,	SM) and Sandy CLAY (CL), slightly damp, stiff and . Original ground sur- feet.	υ@9'
	10-12	CLAY (CL), da very stiff.	ark brown, slightly damp,	
2	0-6.5	in horizontal Red-brown, si	(SP) and Clayey SAND (SC) L layers 2" to 8" thick. Lightly damp to damp, med- Sparse scattered gravel of	B @ 3"-1'
3	0-5	SC) in horizo	SP) and Clayey SAND (SP-SC Intal layers 2" to 6" thick ightly damp, medium dense.	. 10 3.5'
	5-9.5	(SP-SC) in ho	SP) with minor Clayey SAND rizontal layers 1" to 6" rown, slightly damp, mediu	11 @ 7.51
	9.5-10	brown, slight	E: Silty SAND (SM), dark ly damp, medium dense. Or e with undisturbed grass a	iginal t 9.5
A. WAHLER Associates	Pro	oject 0461	January 1970	1 of 2

LOGS OF BACKHOE PITS

TEST PIT NO.	DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE* AND DEPTH
4	0-4	FILL: SAND (SP) and Clayey SAND (SP-SC) in horizontal layers 2" to 8" thick. Red-brown, slightly damp, medium dense.	
	4-5	FILL: CLAY (CL) with scattered hard SHALE gravel and cobbles. Greenish- gray, slightly damp to damp, firm.	u@4.5'
-	5-6.5	FILL: SAND (SP) and Clayey SAND (SP-SC) in horizontal layers 1" to 6" thick. Red- brown, slightly damp, medium dense.	
5	0-7.5	FILL: SAND (SP), Clayey SAND (SP-SC), and Sandy CLAY (CL) in horizontal layers 4" to 6" thick. Red-brown and gray, damp, medium dense and firm.	B@1'-4'
	7.5-10.5	FILL: SAND (SW), homogenous, slightly damp moist at 10.0', medium dense.	e.
	10.5-11.5	FILL: Silty SAND (SM), dark gray, damp to moist, medium dense.	u@11'
	11,5-13	FILL: Sandy CLAY (CH) and Clayey SAND (SC), dark gray, moise to damp, medium dense and firm.	B@13'
	13-14	FILL: SAND (SP), red-brown, slightly damp, medium dense.	U@14'

W.A. WAHLER & Associates

- NOTES: 1. No caving. 2. Groundwater not encountered. 3. All pits backfilled. *4. U = undisturbed sample; B = bulk sample.

January 1970

2 of 2

Project 0461

BORING LOGS BY NMG

FOR PADS B & C AT FASHION ISLAND (2012a & b)

	s	SYN	ABOL	s	TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS	
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVELS	000			WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	GRAVELLY SOILS	RAVELLY		G	Р	POORLY GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURE LITTLE OR NO FINES
COARSE	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION	FINES		GI	M	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
GRAINED SOILS	RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE		19	G	С	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS	SAND AND SANDY SOILS	CLEAN SANDS		SV	N	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR FINES
LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE		(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SI	P	POORLY GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE NO FINES
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION PASSING NO. 4 SIEVE	SANDS WITH FINES		SI	N	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
		(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		S	C	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
-				M	Ļ	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLO SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS W SLIGHT PLASTICITY
FINE GRAINED	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		CI		INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LE, CLAYS
SOILS				0	L	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS				M	н	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS F SANDY OR SILTY SOILS, ELASTIC SILTS
SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE				Cł	ł	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
				OF	1	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY. ORGANIC SILTS
HIGHL	Y ORGANIC SOILS			P	r I	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS
 Standard Penetra Undisturbed push Large bulk samp Small bulk samp 	ned tube sample le le		MI CM DS AL SE	N 5 -	La La At Sa	aboratory compaction test aboratory consolidation test aboratory direct shear test terberg limits and Equivalent
		sample 300 mm (or	GS			ain Size Analysis (Sieve and/or Hydro.) Value
Note: Number of blows required to advance driven sample 300 mm (or length noted) is recorded; blow count recorded for seating interval (initial 150 mm of drive) is indicated by an asterisk.			cc			nemical Testing incl. Soluble Sulfate
length noted) is recorded						pansion Index
length noted) is recorded			UL		0	iconsolidated Shear Strength
length noted) is recorded						
SENERAL NOTES 1. Station location is 2. Soil classifications descriptions have classification and 3. Descriptions on th	been modified to reflect include rock type, moist bese boring logs apply or	ed Soil System and incl results of laboratory te ure, color, grain size, s	ude colo sts whe trength,	or, mois re deel and we	eath at	the time the borings were made. They are not
SENERAL NOTES 1. Station location is 2. Soil classifications descriptions have classification and 3. Descriptions on th	s are based on the Unifie been modified to reflect include rock type, moist	d Soil System and incl results of laboratory te ure, color, grain size, s ily at the specific boring ace conditions at other	ude colo sts whe trength, location	or, mois re deer and we ns and s or tin	at at	d appropriate. Bedrock descriptions are based or hering. the time the borings were made. They are not





Report: HOLLOW STEM: Project: P:\2008\08\08\08\04\01\GINT\08\034-01.GPJ; Data Template: NMGNOVS8.GDT; Printed. 2/27/12

Template: HOLLOW STEM; Prj ID: 08034-01.GPJ; Printed: 2/27/12



PROJECT NO. 08034-01

2R Drilling CME-75 Bulk, Modif oundwater D AMPLES	Graphic Log	Drill Bit Size/Type 8" Hammer 140lbs/ 30" drop hia Groundwater Not Encountered MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Total Depth Drilled (ft) Approximate Gr Surface Elevatio	ound on (ft)	I of 1 6.0 178.0 OTHER
AMPLES	Graphic Log	Data 14005/30 drop	Total Depth Drilled (ft) Approximate Gr Surface Elevatio	26 ound on (ft)	6.0 178.0 OTHER
AMPLES Blows per loot	Graphic Log	Groundwater Not Encountered	Approximate Gr Surface Elevation	ound on (ft)	178.0 OTHER
Number Blows per foot	Graphic Log		Approximate Gr Surface Elevation	ound on (ft)	178.0 OTHER
Number Blows per foot	T SP	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	1	1	OTHER
Number Blows per foot	T SP	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	ture	(pcf)	
	T SP	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	ture	(bc	
			Mois	Dry Density (TESTS and REMARKS
0-1 37		@ 0' Asphalt, 4", over 4" base.	10001		
	SN		rery 7.9	114.0	
)-2 40		@ 5' Brown slightly silty SAND, medium dense, very mois friable, no visible roots/ pores.	t, slightly 9.0	117.6	
0-3 6	SN	@ 7.5' Dark brown silty SAND, loose, saturated, root hairs slightly friable.	, pores, 16.2	2 115.0	
0-4 6	SM	Terrace, Marine (Qtm) @ 10' Yellowish brown slightly clayey fine to medium coar SAND, loose, very moist, pores, root hairs.	se16.1	112.1	
-5 38		@ 15' Light olive brown silty SAND, medium dense, moist, micaceous, non-friable, occasional root holes.	12,5	116.2	
-6 51	ML	Monterey Formation (Tm) @ 20' Light olive gray slightly clayey SILTSTONE with trac moist, dense, FeO/ MnO staining along joints/ bedding, mi	e sand, caceous.	91.5	
-7 32	SM	@ 25' Yellow to pale yellow SANDSTONE, moist, medium micaceous, massive, non-friable.	dense, 7.5	102.8	
		Notes: Total Depth: 26 ft. Groundwater Not Encountered. Backfilled With Cuttings. Asphalt Patched.			
	4 6 5 38 3 51	4 6 SM 5 38 5 51 ML	4 6 SM Terrace, Marine (Qtm) @ 10' Yellowish brown slightly clayey fine to medium coar SAND, loose, very moist, pores, root hairs. 5 38 @ 15' Light olive brown slity SAND, medium dense, moist, micaceous, non-friable, occasional root holes. 5 51 ML Monterey Formation (Tm) @ 20' Light olive gray slightly clayey SILTSTONE with trac moist, dense, FeO/ MnO staining along joints/ bedding, mi micaceous, massive, non-friable. 7 32 SM @ 25' Yellow to pale yellow SANDSTONE, moist, medium micaceous, massive, non-friable. 8 Image: Simple state sta	3 0 Sightly friable. 16.1 4 6 SM Terrace, Marine (Qtm) @ 10' Yellowish brown slightly clayey fine to medium coarse SAND, loose, very moist, pores, root hairs. 16.1 5 38 @ 15' Light olive brown slightly clayey fine to medium coarse SAND, loose, very moist, pores, root hairs. 12.5 5 38 @ 15' Light olive brown slity SAND, medium dense, moist, micaceous, non-friable, occasional root holes. 12.5 5 51 ML Monterey Formation (Tm) @ 20' Light olive gray slightly clayey SILTSTONE with trace sand, moist, dense, FeO/ MnO staining along joints/ bedding, micaceous. 24.8 7 32 SM @ 25' Yellow to pale yellow SANDSTONE, moist, medium dense, micaceous, massive, non-friable. 7.5 8 Notes: Total Depth: 26 ft. Groundwater Not Encountered. Backfilled With Cuttings. Asphalt Patched. 7.5	4 5 SM Terrace, Marine (Qtm) @ 10' Yellowish brown slightly clayey fine to medium coarse SAND, loose, very moist, pores, root hairs. 16.1 112.1 5 38 @ 15' Light olive brown silty SAND, medium dense, moist, micaceous, non-friable, occasional root holes. 12.5 116.2 5 38 @ 15' Light olive brown silty SAND, medium dense, moist, micaceous, non-friable, occasional root holes. 12.5 116.2 5 51 ML Monterey Formation (Tm) @ 20' Light olive gray slightly clayey SILTSTONE with trace sand, moist, dense, FeO/ MnO staining along joints/ bedding, micaceous. 24.8 91.5 7 32 SM @ 25' Yellow to pale yellow SANDSTONE, moist, medium dense, Total Depth: 26 ft. Groundwater Not Encountered. Backfilled With Cuttings. Asphalt Patched. 102.8



Report: HOLLOW STEM: Project: P:/2008/08034-01/IGINT/08034-01.GPU; Data Template: NMGNOV98, GDT; Printed: 1/26/15



Report: HOLLOW STEM: Project: Pr/2008/08034-01/GINT/08034-01.GPJ; Data Template: NMGNOV98, GDT; Printed: 1/26/15
G.A. NICOLL & ASSOCIATES (1972)



GRO	-	and the second second	1.1	9TH :	None	1.25		Hoi	E DIA: 24 inch DATE: Nov. 3, 19
blows	bulk .	a mp tilds	1 4 6	moisture (a/o)	denalty (pct)	depth (faet)	OF	soif iype	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS
7					96.0			CL	FILL - BROWN TO GREY SILTY CLA MOIST, FIRM
						E		se	- TAN CLAYEY FINE SAND, MOIST MEDIUM DENSE
10		\times		8.1	128.0	E		SM SM	- REODISH BROWN SILTY FINE SAND, MOIST, MEDIUM DEN.
						- 10-			- TAN SILTY SAND, FINE, MOIST, MEDIUM DENSE TERRACE DEPOSITS
15		•		8.1	115.9			GM	-BROWN TO REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND, MOIST, DEN - WITH COBBLES TO BINCHES
6						E			WITH CORDERS TO BINCHES
									NOTES:
									1) GROUND WATER ABSEN; 2) NO CAVING 3) HOLE BACKFILLED
-						Ē			4) TOTAL DEPTH 14 FEET 5) DRIVING HEIGHT FOR SAMPLES 15 1500 POUN
									6) Boring stopped due to cobbles
							3		
				4					
								ŧ	
						11			
									an a
G. A.	NICO	DLL	N		ck 10 t Cen				DRILLHOLE LOG HOL
ASSO	CIA	TES				mpany			Project no. date sheet NO 1010 Nov. 1972 1 of 1 B-1

	2000	15 107	11.12 . P	OL AL	None	1	1.1		E ELEV: 150 feet LOCORD WY: GAN LE DIA: 24 inch DATE: Nov. 3, 197
lowe		apilt dus	-	•	dry Senaity (pc?)	depth	symbol	1 ype	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS
4 6	-	M W		12.6	115.2 100.5			SM	- BROWN SILTY SAND - TAN SANDY SILT - BROWN SILTY FING SAND
14		X		8.7	116.0	10		SM	- GREY CLAY WITH SHALE FRAGMENTS - TAN TO REDDISH BRAINS SILTY SAND, MOIST, DENSE
21		X		10.8	12.1.0	- 15		SM SM SM	DENSE SOIL - GREY SILTY SAND, MOIST, DAWS TERRACE DEPOSITS - TAN SILTY SAND AND
17		X		7.7	124.5	- 20			- SAME BUT GREY
16		X		8.5	124.1	- 30	Contraction of the second	-	- BECOMES REDDISH BROWN - WITH GRAVEL OF SILLEGOU SHALE FRAGMENTS BED ROCK - HONTEREY FORMATION - GREY SHALE AND TAN SANDSTONE, INTERBEDDE BEDS 14 INCH to Paperthi THICKNESS, CONTACT: NYSS IS"SW; BEDDING: N35W, 455
									NOTES:) TOTAL DEPTH 36 FEET 2) NO CAVING 3) HOLE BACKFILLED 4) DRIVING WEIGHT FOR SAMPLER IS ISDO POUND KELLY BAR
5. A. I 8 5500	k			Newpo	ock 10 ort Ce vine C	inter	ly		DRILLHOLE LOG HOLE Project no. date sheet 1010 Nov. 1972 1 orl B-2

HOLE ELEV .: 150 feet DRILL AIG: Bucket Auger 1 LOGGED BY: GAN Hous DIA .: 24 inch DATE: NOV. 3, 1972 GROUNDWATER DEPTH : None s s m pier moisture (o/o) density (pet) *011 *ymbol *011 17PE loot depth 3 P111 DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS **543**k tube M O (feet) ā SM FILL - TAN SILTY SAND, DRY, LOOSE - TAN SILTY SAND, MOIST 10.0 113.2 X SM MEDIUM DENSE 11 1541 6 5 52 110.7 13.1 - LOCAL LENSES OF A state faile and REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND OR POCKETS OF GREY CLAY - 10-0 104.8 13.2 \times 11 15 SM SOIL - GREY SILTY SAND, HOIST, DENSE SM TERRACE DEPOSITS -20 GREY SILTY SHNG, MOIST, 14 DENSE NOTES! TOTAL DEPTH 24 FEET n 2) NO CAUMO 3) HOLE BACKFILLED 4) DRIVING WEIGHT FOR SAMPLER 15 1500 FOUND 1 1 KELLY BAR -HOLE G. A. NICOLL Block 100 DRILLHOLE LOG NO. Newport Center 2 Project no. date sheet The Irvine Company ASSOCIATES 1010 1107. 1972 1 of 1 B-3 A STANDER

DRIL	LA	101	Buc	ket A	luger		(And all	Ho	E ELEV: 153 feet Logger By: GAN
GROU	NDY	HATE	DE	PTH :	None	1	-	Hai	E DIA .: 24 inch DATE: Nov. 3, 19
lione		spilt spoon	101	noistura (0/5)	dry ensity (pcf)	depth (feet)	sol l ym bol	\$011 type	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS
	-	4 4		2	0		17.77	SM	FILL - TAN SILTY SAND, DRY, LO
-				100	110.0	<u>t</u> :	0		- REDDISH BROWN SILTY S.
5				10.8	110.0			SM	-LOCALLY TAN
10		X		16.5	1053	- 5 -			- GREY AND BROWN CLAY
10						E 1		CL	Some SHALE FRAGMENT AND SAND, MOUST, HAR
									- GREY TO REODISH BROW
		~		10.8	94.3	- 10-		SM	SILTY SHAD, MOIST, DE
17				1.0	Care C	2			
						-15-	12		
							5		OR SHALL FRASME
				÷		F 1			
						20			
							1		
		1				F -		SM	COU - CARY CURL CAUD ALLES
-					9	- 25-			SOIL - GREY SILFY SAND, MOIST, DE TEERACE DEPOSITS - REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND MOIST VERY DE
							1		SILTY SAND, HOIST, VERY DE
						= =			1/2-54
								lt	NOTES: D. TOTAL DEPTH 26 FEE
-									2) NO CAVING 3) HOLE BACKFILLED
				0.16					4) DRIVING WEIGHT FO
								ł	SAMPLER IS ISCO POU KELLY BAR
			1		10.4	6 1		F	
		60			1.0				and the second
	1							H	
								ļ	
			1					t	
							5	F	
								t	
-								ŀ	
. A.	NICO	111		Blo	ck 10				
	&		110	ewpor	t Con	ter		H	DRILLHOLE LOG HOL Project no. date sheet NC
\$50	CIA'	TES	rne	TLAT	ne Co	mpany		-	1010 Nov. 1972 1 or 1 B-4

GROU	Start 1	a m p	100	PTH		ſ	-	Hot	E DIA.: 24 inch DATE: Nov. 3, 197
blowe	bulk	apille	tube	moistur (0/0)	densi((pcf)	depth (feat)	symbo	1011	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS
1							黯	SM	FILL - TAN SILTY SAND, DRY, LOOS
8		X		15.9	105.1			SM	- TAN SILTY SAND, MOIST, MEANIN DEN. - GREY CLAY, SHALE FRAGMEN
						F_=		CL	SAND CENSES
12		X		12.9	125.2	- 5 -		5M	- REDDISIT BROWN AND TAN SILTY SAND
									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17		$\mathbf{\mathbf{x}}$		9.7	105.9	- 10 -			
"									- WITH GREY CLAY LAYERS
									ana ana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny
						- 15 -			
			11						
					3				
					<	- 20-			
								Í	
								t	
						-25-		ł	
								F	and the second
								ł	
			11			- 30 -		ł	
							0.0	GP	- COBBLES WITH DARK GREY
						2 7		-	BEDROCK - MONTEREY FORMATTON
1		1				-35-		E	SHALE WITH INTERREPS OF SANDSTONE, CONTACT ENTEN
								F	BEDDING: NBYW, 245W
								T	
	ļ		1					t	NOTES
	1							H	2) NO CATING
Í								F	3) HOLE BACKFILLED
					t			t	4) DRIVINIG WEIGHT FOR SHMPLER-ISCO POUND
1.1				-	- 1			E	KELLY BAR
G. A. I	1.1	OLL			k 100			+	DRILLHOLE LOG HOLE
& ASSOC		erel,	Ne	Vport	Cent ne Co	er			Project no. date sheet NO.
	-IA	ESI				manny		-	1010 Nov. 1972 1 011 1 7-5

DAIL	L Ala:	Buc	ket 7	Auger		1		LE ELEV.: 153 feet LOGGED BY: GAN
GRO		PIPE	EPTH :	None	-		Ho	LE DIA: 24 inch DATE: Nov. 3, 19
blows -	bulk spiit	1	moisture (o/o)	density (pcl)	depth (feet)	OE	1 4 9 6	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS
		1					SM	FILL - TAN SILTY SAND, DRY, LOOS
8	X	5	7.9	116.9	E -		SM	- BROWN SILTY SAND, MOIST, MEDIUM DENSE -LOCAL REDDISH BROWN
12	X	5	10.8	109.7	-5-			CLAYEY SAND, SCATTER
					- 10 -			
"	X		10.6	113.0				
					- 15 -			
							CL	- BLACK CLAY, MOIST STIFF - REDDISH BROWN SILTY
					- 25 -			SAND, MOIST, MEDIUM DENS
	. 11		1	1.4			sm-	-DARK GREY SILTY SAND.
					- 30 -			MOIST, MEDIUM DENSE, ROOT - BECOMES GREEN AND GREY
1				ł	- 35		F	
						5	M	- REDOISH BROWN SILTY SAN SOME GREY CLAY AND SHALE FRAGMONTS
					40			
				ļ			-	BEDROCK - MONTEREY FORMATION
				F	45	11	E	SHALL AND SANDSTONE
				t.	: 1	T	-	NOTES: 1) TOTAL DEPTH 451/2 FT. 2) NO CAVING 3) HOLE BACKFILLED
5. A. N	ICOLL			<u> </u>	-	1	+	+) SAMPLE DRIVING WEIGHT IS 1500 POUND RELLY BAR
&	1.000	Th	Newpo	ock 10 ort Ce vine C	00 enter Compar	ıy	P	DRILLHOLE LOG HOLE reject no. date sheet NO.
	Service and							1010 Nov. 1972 1 of 1 B-6



DRIL	LRI	a :	B	icket	Auge	E Constant	- Se the Fil	HOLE ELEV: 146 feet LOGGED BY: GAN					
GROU	NDM	ATER	DE	THI	None			Hou	E DIA .: 24 inch DATE: Nov. 3, 197				
blows /	- mart	a poods	u be	maisture (e/a)	density (pct)	depth (feet)	soil ymbol	\$011 type	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS				
4	4				97.3			5м	EILL - TAN SILTY SAND, DRY, LOO. - BELDMES BROWN TO REPOISH BROWN, MOIST, MEDIUM DENSE				
в		X		13.4	104.2	5			-LEWS OF GREY CLAY				
6		X		14.5	113.1	- 15							
						- 25		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	- GREY SILTY SAND - REDDISH BROWN SILTY SA				
						- 35			-WITH COBBLES BEPROCIL - MONTEREY FORMATIO SHALE AND SANDSTOND				
						1.1.1.1.1			NOTES: 1) TOTAL DEPTH 40 FEET 2) NO CAVING 3) HOLE BACKFILLED 4) SAMPLER DRIVING WHEAH, TS 1500 ROUND KELLY BAR				
G. A.	&	1.1		New		100 Cente Comp			DRILLHOLE LOG HOL Project no. date sheet 1010 I Nov. 1972 Lot 1 B-8				

BROI	ND	NATER	DE	PTH :	None		4	HOL	E DIA.: 24 inch	DATE: Nov. 4, 1972
foot	bulk w	spilt speen a	tube .	moisture (o/o)	density (pc1)	depth (feet)	OE	type	DESCRIPTION	
4 10 20		Ids M M .	1 n p		105.5			CL SM CL SM	- GREY SAM - REPRISH SAMD, M SAMD, M S	AY WITH SHALE
									2) NO CA 3) HOLE 4) DRIVING	UNG BACKFILLED SWEIGHT FOR R: 1500 POUND BAR
	&	TES	T	Newp	ock 1 ort C vine	enter	ny		DRILLHOLE Project no. date 1010 Nov. 1	sheet NO.

	10.0	C		Ket A	None		1000	1.00 1	E ELEV: 147 feet E DIA: 24 inch	LOGGED BY:		
13	-	ampi	91	a	>	depth	bot		Dreening	ND REMAR		
Diow	bulk	tube a poon tube	e y m	typ								
								SM	FILL - BROWN AN	VO REDOIS	H BROL	
				21.7	97.1	F 2			MEDIUM	DONSE		
				~~~			F					
		X	213	22.8	98.8	-5-			-LAVERS	OF GREV	AND	
						F 12			BROWN S	ILTY CLAY	1	
											11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	
		X		15.2	112.9	- 10 -			and the state of the			
									- TAN SILT	Y FINE SA	NO	
						-15-	-		- REDDISH FINE SAM	BROWN SI	iry	
							1		1999 (1998 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 199			
						1						
						- 20-						
									NOTES:			
						-			) TOTAL 2) NO CA	DEPTH 20	FEET	
									3) HOLE	BACKFIL		
						5 1			4) SAM PLO 15 1500	POUND KE	LLY BA	
										+		
						-						
									······			
						-	0					
					č - 4							
						5 1		t			~~~~	
								ł				
					1.0			F				
								ł				
G. A.	NIC	OLL	-		ck 10			-	DRILLHOLE	LOG	HOL	
	ę '				ine C		v	ł	project no.   date	sheet	NO.	
SSOC	A	IES		C ILV	THE C	Subar	.1	. 1	1010 Nov. 197		B-10	

GROU	-	and the second	3	РТН:	None				LE ELEV.: 142 feet LOGGEO BY: GAN LE DIA.: 24 inch DATE: Nov. 4, 19
blows	bu i k	a mp soods	tube .	moisture {o/o}	denaity (pei)	depth (feet)	symbol	type	
64		XX		11.6	100.6	5		SM	FILL - BROWN SILTY SAND DRY, LOOS - MOIST BELOW 1 FOOT
10		X			106.7			CL SM	- GREY SILTY CLAY - REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND WITH SOME GREY CLAY
8		X		<i>B,8</i>	127.7	15		CL SM	- DARK GREY SILTY CLAY, SOME ROOTS, GRAVEL - REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND, MOIST, MEDINA DEM - BECOMES BREY WITH SOM ORGANICS
						- 25 -		SM	- REPDISH BROWN SILTY SAND
									NOTES: ) TOTAL DEPTH 25 FEET 2) NO CAVING 3) HOLE BACKFILLED 4) SAMPLER DRIVEN BY ISDO POUND KELLY
G. A. M & AS300				lewpo	ck 100 rt Cen ine Co	nter	y		DRILLHOLE LOG HOLI Project no. date sheet NC. 1010 Nov. 1972 Tor 1 E-11

_	_	ALC: NOT A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIONO	and a surface of	and the second	Auge		New 1	10000	ELEV.:	315 3		LOGGED BY:	To state
GROU	100	-	-	TH:	Non	0	1 12	HOLE	DIA.: 24	inc	h	DATE: NOV.	4, 197
blows		a noods	tube	moisture (0/a)	density (pci)	depth (feet)	symbol	1776				ND REMAR	
8		V		11.4	109.2			5M -	FILL -	DRY	COC ST AN	BROWN SILT, 255 D MEDIUM	DENSE
6		X		25.5		F_		CL SM		500	NE G IERS	REY SILTY	CLAY
-						Ē	-						
									Ner	1)	No C	DEPTH 6 AVING	
		1				Ē				4)	DRIVIA	BACKFILL 16 WEIGHT ER- 1500 F	FOR
						E	7				KELLY	BAR	
						E							
						E							47-74-74-1
						E							
						E	-						
						E							
						-	-						
						E							
G. A	. NI	COLI	-		lock	100 Cent	- <u> </u>			1.1.1.1.1.1.1	HOLE		HOL NO.
ASSO		ATES				e Com		,	Project n 1010	0.	date lov. 19	72 1 of 1	5-12

				cket PTH:	None		1985.0		E ELEV.: 154 feet E DIA.: 24 inch	DATE: NOV. 4, 1972
lowe	1 5	a mp a billa a boou	1.11.11	moisture {o/a}	2	depth (laet)	soil ymbol	_ 0	DESCRIPTION	
8 6		M M .			117.5			++	- BE COMES - WITH GR LAYERS NOTES: D. TOTAL 2) NO C. 3) HOLE 4) SAM PL	BACKALLED ER DRIVING IS ISOO POUND
i. A. I 8 SSO	4		The	Newpo	ck 10 rt Ce ine C	nter	Y		DRILLHOLE Project no. date 1010 Nov. 197	LOG HOLE shert NO. 2 1 of 1 B-13

Dau	A	a: 1	hugh	cet A	nger			Hou	E ELEV.: 161 feet LOGGED BY: GAN
	1	and a second	1	247 MS 87, 615	None				E DIA: 24 inch DATE: Nov. 4, 1972
1001	bulk .	1110	90 90 90	moisture (e/o)	dry density (pci)	depth (toet)	symbol	\$011 1ype	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS
19 19 19 19								SM	FILL - TAN SILTY SAND, DRY, LOOSE
2		X		7.9	106.1	E			- MOIST, LOOSE
						E			
									- BECOMES MEDIUM DENISE
						E :			
14		$\times$		8.2	109.2	-10-	7.7	+	
						E :			
						È :			NOTES: 1) TOTAL DEPTH 10 FEET
				5			-		2) NO CAVING 3) HOLE BACKFILLED
91						F -	-		D SAMPLER DRIVEN BY 1500 POUND KELLY BAR
					1.	È :	1		
						- :	1		
ş.,						F -	-		
						E :	1		
					1 1	E :	1		
						5	1	-	
						F -	-		
						E 1	1		
					1.1				
						F .	-		
						F :	1		
						E :	1		
							-		
						F :	-		in the second barrier of the
					1	F :	-		
						E :	1		
						-	1		
G. A.	NIC	011			lock .	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	DRILLHOLE LOG HOLE
	&				port (		~		Project no. date sheet NO.

GROL	IND	IG:	BUC a Da	<u>ket A</u> PTH:	uger None	$\theta_{\alpha} > \theta_{\alpha}$	59934 1	Ho	LE ELEV: 145 feet LOGGED BY: GAN	
79. 20		a m p	1. 1.2		None		-	HO	LE DIA.: 24 inch DATE: Nov. 4, 197	
blowe	bulk	spoon	tube	moisture (0/o)	denaily (per)	depth (f-tet)	02	1108	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	
								SM	FILL - SILTY SAND, DRY, LOOSE	
						F -	-		- MOIST, MEDIUM DENSE	
						F			- LOCAL CLAYEY SILT	
16		X	24	16.5	109.8		1			
					2					
						-			NOTES: ) TOTAL DEPTH & FEET	
						F 1			2) NO CAVING	
					8				3) HOLE BACKFILLED 4) DAIVING WEIGHT FOR	
									SAMFLER - 1500 POUND	
									KEL-Y BAR	
- 1					81.					
					4 1					
									ļ	
			1							ł
1								F		
								ł		
				1				t		
1								F		
		1						F		
- 1					t			E		
					ł			H		
								F		
					t			E		
					Ŀ	: :		E		
					H	• -		F		
					Ļ	-		E		
					t	: 1		E		
						-		F		
. A. N		1		Bloc	k 100	)		T	DRILLHOLE LOG HOLE	
SOC		FS	The	ewpor	t Cer	nter	,		1010 Nov. 1972 1 of 1 B-15	

1 =	S	a m p j	81		-	16.00	-		
blows	bulk	spoon	tube	moistur (0/0)	denait (pct)	depth (feet)	EA	1108	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS
					1			SM	FILL - TAN SILTY SAND, DRY, LOAS
B		X			110.7	F -			- MOIST, MEDIUM DENSE
0				10.2	1141	- 5 -			- LOCAL REDDISH BROWN SANDY CLAY
						F -			NOTES:
						F :	1		1) TOTAL DEPTH SFEE
							1		2) NÓ CAVING 3) HOLE BACKFILLED
						F :	]		4) SAMPLE DRIVING
						E :	1		KELLY BAR
						E 13	1		
				ļ		È :		1	
						F :	-		
						E .	-		
			1						
		1				F :	1		tion and the second
					1	E :	1		
						-	-		
						E .			
					1.1.5	F -	1		and the second
						F .	1		
						5 3	1		
					115	-	-		
						F .	-		
				1	1				
						F	7		
						E :	1		
						E :	1		
						F			
				1	1.00	E :	1		
G. A.	NIC	OLL			lock		1	L	DRILLHOLE LOG HOL
	&			New	port rvine	Cente			Project no. date sheet NO.
ASSO	CLA	TES	-	The 1	TATIC	COmp	any	-	1010 Nov. 1972 1 of 1 B-16

L

DAIL	LR	1G: ]	Buch	ket A	uger			HOL	E ELEY.: 142 feet	LOGGED BY: GAN
GROU	IND	WATER	DE	PTH:	None		1	HOL	E DIA: 24 inch	DATE: NOV. 4,
biows /	bulk a	apili un	tube 19	moisture (o/o)	donalty (pcf)	depth (faet)	OE	\$011 1 y pe	DESCRIPTION A	ND REMARKS
-						Ļ _		SM	FILL - TAN SILTY	
						F -		CL		AY, MOIST, STI
20		X		7.1	109.2	-5-		SM	MOIST, DA	BROWN SILTY SAN
								SM	- TAN SILT	Y SAND, MOIST, D Y SAND, MOIST, D BROWN SILTY
4						- 10-		SM	- REPRISH SAND, M	BROWN SILTY
								Ē		
						1 -			NOTES: 1) TOTAL	DEPTH 10 FE
						E -			3) HOLE	BACKFILLED
								F	4) SAMPO WEIGHT KELLY	EISOO POUND
						E -			- Acting	0#2
								E		
								F		
								E		
								E		
						11		F		
								F	······································	
								11		
								E		
							1	F		
								F		·····
								E		
5. A. M	VIC	011		RI	ock 1			+		
45500	4		T	Newp	ort C	enter Compa		P	DRILLHOLE Volect no.   data 1010 Nov. 197	LOG HO sheet N

#### MOORE & TABER (1975)



TYPE	1.8"	Bucket	Au	er	-			RING LOG ATION 101# BORING 1
	1.1.4	5.6	T		Ι,		s	The second s
	96	11.1		Bag 2.5	1 2	H	N SO	Yellow-brown fine CLAYEY SAND - FL
	111.	10,4	10	2.5	4	1.07	SI	Light brown fine SILTY SAND - FII
	111	11.9	10	0.5	5	1.5	S.	
	111	11.9	10	6.3			so	Red-brown fine to medium CLAYEY SAND - FI
	114	8.8	6	2.5	6	20	SM	- FII
	118	7.4	25	2.5	7	25-	SN SN	Dark brown SILTY SAND Red-brown fine SILTY SAND
								<ol> <li>No caving</li> <li>No water seepage encountered</li> <li>* Elevations based on assumed elevatio of 100 at top of curb, Newport Cente Drive East.</li> </ol>
STRIKE DIP RELATIVE COMPAGTION	DRY DENSITY (Las/Cu.FT)	MOISTURE	BLOWS / FOOT	SAMPLE SIZE (INCHES)	SAMPLE Nº	PEET IN FEET	SYMBOL UNIFIED SOIL CLASS.	LOGGED BY WMC DATE 1/14/75

TYPE	1.011			-	-	1 5			ATION 100.5 BORING 2
TT	18.	Bucket	T	T	T	T	TL	Asc	
					1		H	4	- F1LL
	91	26.9	11	2.5	11	1.		SM	Red-brown fine SILTY SAND - FILL
	1.06	11.7	10	2.5	2	-	TQ.	1	
					i		T	CI SM	Greenish SILTY CLAY Red-brown fine SILTY SAND
		1				10	H	CL	Red-brown & Greenish SILTY CLAY - FILL
	108	8.3	18	2.5	3		H	SM	
			1				H		- FILL
dept-	109	13.5	1 1	2.5	1	1 15	JF.	1	Red-brown fine SILTY SAND
	and de	1	1	1.1			H	SM SM	- FILL
			1		1		IL.	SM	Gray-brown fine to medium S11.TY SAND & red-brown CLAYEY SAND - FILL
	109	5.9	6	2.5	1 5	20	T		Brown fine SILTY SAND
					1			SM	changing to red-brown
						1 25	H	3	
	109	5.4	5	2.5	6	1 -	H		
				1			-N.X	1_	
		1	1			30	L	SC	Mottled red-brown and gray CLAYEY SAND
	1).4	7.2	15	2.5	7	1 30	H	-	
		1					H	1	NOTES
					ĺ		F	1	
		i i							<ol> <li>No caving</li> <li>No water seepage encountered</li> </ol>
							H		and the second
							H		
		İ i		4			H	1	
							H		
11							11		
	9						H	1	
							H		
							H		
							T		
							H		
w	(110	tat ac	100	S126	3.5	z	1	Ser L	
STARE DIP RELATIVE CONPACTION	DAY DENSITY (LBS/CU FT)	MOISTURE (%)	1540	SAMPLE SIZE (INCHES)	SAMPLE NS	DEATH IN PEET	MATERIAL	UNIFIED SOIL	
1 1600	534	2	35	AV	A	W.	13	nz I	LOGGED BY WAC DATE 1/14/75



# SOILS INTERNATIONAL (1988)



DATE	DRILLED 3-25-88 DRI	Contraction of the local division of the loc	OF B			-	_	-	-		uea	1 .	•	•	-
State of Concession, Name of Street, or other	ING WEIGHT 140 pounds												_		
TT	1 1 1			_		Isi	HEA	R RE	515	TAN	CE	(a) R 5	AN'	TICIF	PAT
Depth in Feet Samples	SOILS COLOA CLASSIFICATION	PRESSURE - KIPS PER SQUARE FOOT													
		$\rightarrow$				H		10	П	20		30		40	1.
	Sand, fine to medium		S1. Moist	Mod. Comp.				-							
						$\parallel$		11	$\frac{1}{1}$				4	#	-
	Siltstone	t	Moist	Comp.		++		++	++	++	++			#	H
30-29					87	+		#			++	++		#	H
	Sandstone with silt-					4	H	+	4	+	+	++-	+	++-	H
	stone fragments	1. m				H	+	#	++		+		-++	4	$\vdash$
						++		11:	łt		+		+	H	H
- 20					67	++	+		+	H	+		++	+	H
35-20	End of Boring @ 35 f	eet			07	H	11	11	Ħ		+	H	1	ti	H
1	No caving No groundwater						ti	11	it	1	$\frac{1}{1}$		#		
	no groundwater						TT	11	Ħ	TI	11		$^{++}$	H	
			1		Î	1	TT	11	T		T		tt	Ħ	T
40	a state state and					T	T	11			11	11	Ħ	Ħ	ij
	• Core Sample					TI	11	1	11	4	11	TI	ÎÌ	Ħ	1
	O Bulk Sample					T	11			1	İ		T	T	1
						11	11	11			11		T		
					[	1	11	1		† i	11	11	11	11	1
45-							11	11		11	11		1		1
						11	11	li		11	11	1	11	11	
										11	1	1	11	11	
11					ł	4	11		1			11	11		1
					Ļ	#	11		Щ	11		1	44	Ш	1
soll			<u> </u>			1	11	il.		11	Ш	Ш	Ш		
	Edwards	Thea	tre			_		1				Is.	-10	93	-1
	300 Newport	t Cen	ter Dr					-	-	ECT	NO	1			_
	Newport 1 SOIL		, CALL	و تار	-			PL	AT	E,				С	E

1

đ





# R.T. FRANKIAN & ASSOCIATES (1994)

LOG OF BORINGS 94-029-A BORING NUMBER 1 Foot Depth Dry Unit Weight Lbs. Per Cu. Ft. ELEVATION · 695± Feet Moisture Content (%) ows Per 5 DESCRIPTION Sample Depth Surface Conditions: Asphalt 6" thick - no base ā 0 1111 SAND: Tan-brown, silty, fine to medium moist, SM dense to very dense 15 5 11 120 mottled tan-brown and medium brown 3 11 113 SANDSTONE: Mottled rust-brown-gray, fine sand-8 Ss stone, moist, hard 5 10 9 109 6 JOB NO. mottled rust-brown-olive-gray with 10 6 23 92 olive-gray shale bedrock, root 10 fragment mottled rust-brown-white, and olive-gray, fine sandstone with olive-gray siltstone bedding, moist, firm to medium hard 14 7 28 87 CLIENT grades olive-buff colored fine sandstone, 15 less moist lenses of very hard hsale 20 End of boring at 20 feet No water - no caving R.T. FRANKIAN & ASSOCIATES Theoretical and Applied Borth Monhaditt

LOG OF BORINGS 94-029-A

BORING NUMBER 2



1

LOG OF BORINGS 94-029-A

10

BORING NUMBER

3

Depth Unit Weight .. Per Cu. Ft. ELEVATION: 76± Blows Per Foo Feet (%) 5 Moisture Content DESCRIPTION Sample Depth Surface Conditions: Concrete 5" thick (+/-) Dry Los. reinforced 0 1. ..... FILL: Gray silty clay, moist to very moist Af grades red-brown almoost clean sand, very moist, loose 2 Push 15 112 &Tap grades dark olive-brown to black slightly silty sand with lenses of olive colored silty clay, very moist, loose 5 ŝ 8 6 SAND: Mottled light brown, medium brown, and 11 114 108 rust brown, silty, fine, moist, dense to SM very dense 10 11 10 10 114 SANDSTONE: Mottled rust-brown and gray, fine Ss sandstone, moist, very hard occasional lense of silty clay CLIENT 15 12 7 107 15 mottled rust-brown, olive, tan colored fine sandstone, moist occasional lense of hard shale 20 15 26 93 20 R.T. FRANKIAN & ASSOCIATE "Lourses and seafind Bailt .

# **APPENDIX C**

#### LABORATORY TEST RESULTS BY

#### W.A. WAHLER (1970)

#### FOR EXISTING CARWASH SITE

#### TABLE A-1

#### FIELD RESISTIVITY TEST RESULTS

Alignment No.	Test Depth	Soil Classification	Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Corrosivity	Servica Life
1	2.5'	Clayey SAND	1435	Severe	10-15
	4.5'	Clayey SAND	1700	Moderate	15-20
	9.0'	SAND	2552	Moderate	15-20
2	2.5'	Clayey SAND	1558	Moderate	15-20
	4.5'	Clayey SAND	1530	Moderate	15-20
	9,0'	SAND	2200	Moderate	15-20
3	10.0'	SAND	1495	Moderate to Severe	12-20
	11.5'	Sandy CLAY	1632	Moderate	15-20

NOTE: pH's were determined for samples from depths of 1', 4.5', 11', 13', and 14'. The pH of each sample was 6.8.

Project 0461

1.12341.60

W.A. WAHLER & Associates

January 1970
TABLE B-1

ĥ

「日本

DETERMINATION OF NATURAL WATER CONTENT, DRY DENSITY, AND PH

9 59 65 1 

0.25   . SAND   SP   6.9   112.1     4.5   Clayey SAND   SV-SC   9.1   119.2     6   S1Lty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   108.8     6   S1Lty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   108.8     7   S1Lty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   108.8     8   S1Lty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   108.8     8   S1Lty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   108.8     9   Stilty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   108.8     9   Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     1.5   Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     1.5   Clayey SAND   SP-SC   9.0   119.3     1.6   Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     1.1   Silty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8 <tr td="">   11   11   111<!--</th--><th>Pit No.</th><th>Depth (Ft.)</th><th>Sample Description</th><th>Unified Soil Classification</th><th>Water Water Content (%)</th><th>Natural Dry Density (pef)</th><th>HI</th></tr> <tr><td>Clayey SAND   SH-SC   9.1   119.2     Silty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   106.8     Silty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   106.8     Silty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Silty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Silty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     Clayey SAND   SC   9.0   119.3     Clayey SAND   SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SM   7.2   127.8     Sandy CLAY   SM   3P   7.1   116.8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>SP</td><td>6.9</td><td>112.1</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Stlty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   108.8     Stlty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Stlty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     Clayey SAND   SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -   -     Silty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8   -     Sandy CLAY   SP   -   -   -     SAND   SP   7.1   116.8   -</td><td></td><td>4.5</td><td>Clayey SAND</td><td>SW-SC</td><td>1.6</td><td>119.2</td><td>6.8</td></tr> <tr><td>Silty SAID SM 9.7 -   Sandy CLAY CL 13.2 134.3   Sandy CLAY CL 13.2 134.3   Clayey SAND SC 16.0 109.8   Clayey SAND SP-SC 9.0 119.3   Sandy CLAY CL 30.4 86.3   Sandy CLAY CL 7.2 127.8   Sandy CLAY CH - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SP 7.1 116.8</td><td></td><td>9</td><td>Silty SAND</td><td>SP-SM</td><td>7.1</td><td>108.8</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Sandy CLAY CL 13.2 134.3   Clayey SAND SC 16.0 109.8   Clayey SAND SC 9.0 119.3   Clayey SAND SP-SC 9.0 119.3   Sandy CLAY CL 30.4 86.3   Sandy CLAY CL 30.4 86.3   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SH 7.2 127.8   Sandy CLAY SH 7.1 116.8</td><td></td><td>8</td><td>Silty SAND</td><td>WS</td><td>9.7</td><td>•</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     Clayey SAND   SP-SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -         Sandy CLAY   SMD   SP   7.1   116.8</td><td></td><td>6</td><td>Sandy CLAY</td><td>CL</td><td>13.2</td><td>134.3</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Clayey SAND   SP-SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Stilty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8     Sandy CLAY   SM   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SP   7.1   116.8</td><td></td><td>1.5</td><td>Clayey SAND</td><td>sc</td><td>16.0</td><td>109.8</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5     Sandy CIAY     CL     30.4     86.3       4     Sandy CIAY     SC     -     -     -       4     Sandy CIAY     SC     -     -     -     -       4     Stilty SAND     SM     7.2     127.8     -     -       5     Sandy CIAY     CR     -     -     -     -     -       Sandy CLAY     SP     7.1     116.8     -     -     -     -</td><td></td><td>. 3.5</td><td>Clayey SAND</td><td>SP-SC</td><td>0*6</td><td>119.3</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4   Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Stilty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8     Sandy CLAY   CH   -   -   -     Sandy CLAY   CH   -   -   -     SAND   SP   7.1   116.8</td><td></td><td>4.5</td><td>Sandy CLAY</td><td>CL</td><td>30.4</td><td>86.3</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>silty SAND SM 7.2 127.8 Sandy CLAY CH</td><td></td><td>1-4</td><td>Sandy CLAY</td><td>SC</td><td>,</td><td></td><td>6.8</td></tr> <tr><td>Sandy CLAY CH</td><td></td><td>п</td><td>Silty SAND</td><td>WS</td><td>7.2</td><td>127.8</td><td>6.8</td></tr> <tr><td>SAND SP 7.1 116.8</td><td></td><td>13</td><td>Sandy CLAY</td><td>CH</td><td>•</td><td>ł</td><td>6.8</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>14</td><td>SAND</td><td>SP</td><td>1.7</td><td>116.8</td><td>6.8</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr>	Pit No.	Depth (Ft.)	Sample Description	Unified Soil Classification	Water Water Content (%)	Natural Dry Density (pef)	HI	Clayey SAND   SH-SC   9.1   119.2     Silty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   106.8     Silty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   106.8     Silty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Silty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Silty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     Clayey SAND   SC   9.0   119.3     Clayey SAND   SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SM   7.2   127.8     Sandy CLAY   SM   3P   7.1   116.8				SP	6.9	112.1		Stlty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   108.8     Stlty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Stlty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     Clayey SAND   SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -   -     Silty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8   -     Sandy CLAY   SP   -   -   -     SAND   SP   7.1   116.8   -		4.5	Clayey SAND	SW-SC	1.6	119.2	6.8	Silty SAID SM 9.7 -   Sandy CLAY CL 13.2 134.3   Sandy CLAY CL 13.2 134.3   Clayey SAND SC 16.0 109.8   Clayey SAND SP-SC 9.0 119.3   Sandy CLAY CL 30.4 86.3   Sandy CLAY CL 7.2 127.8   Sandy CLAY CH - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SP 7.1 116.8		9	Silty SAND	SP-SM	7.1	108.8		Sandy CLAY CL 13.2 134.3   Clayey SAND SC 16.0 109.8   Clayey SAND SC 9.0 119.3   Clayey SAND SP-SC 9.0 119.3   Sandy CLAY CL 30.4 86.3   Sandy CLAY CL 30.4 86.3   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SH 7.2 127.8   Sandy CLAY SH 7.1 116.8		8	Silty SAND	WS	9.7	•		Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     Clayey SAND   SP-SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -         Sandy CLAY   SMD   SP   7.1   116.8		6	Sandy CLAY	CL	13.2	134.3		Clayey SAND   SP-SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Stilty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8     Sandy CLAY   SM   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SP   7.1   116.8		1.5	Clayey SAND	sc	16.0	109.8		5     Sandy CIAY     CL     30.4     86.3       4     Sandy CIAY     SC     -     -     -       4     Sandy CIAY     SC     -     -     -     -       4     Stilty SAND     SM     7.2     127.8     -     -       5     Sandy CIAY     CR     -     -     -     -     -       Sandy CLAY     SP     7.1     116.8     -     -     -     -		. 3.5	Clayey SAND	SP-SC	0*6	119.3		4   Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Stilty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8     Sandy CLAY   CH   -   -   -     Sandy CLAY   CH   -   -   -     SAND   SP   7.1   116.8		4.5	Sandy CLAY	CL	30.4	86.3		silty SAND SM 7.2 127.8 Sandy CLAY CH		1-4	Sandy CLAY	SC	,		6.8	Sandy CLAY CH		п	Silty SAND	WS	7.2	127.8	6.8	SAND SP 7.1 116.8		13	Sandy CLAY	CH	•	ł	6.8			14	SAND	SP	1.7	116.8	6.8								
Pit No.	Depth (Ft.)	Sample Description	Unified Soil Classification	Water Water Content (%)	Natural Dry Density (pef)	HI																																																																																																									
Clayey SAND   SH-SC   9.1   119.2     Silty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   106.8     Silty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   106.8     Silty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Silty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Silty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     Clayey SAND   SC   9.0   119.3     Clayey SAND   SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SM   7.2   127.8     Sandy CLAY   SM   3P   7.1   116.8				SP	6.9	112.1																																																																																																									
Stlty SAND   SP-SM   7.1   108.8     Stlty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Stlty SAND   SM   9.7   -     Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   13.2   134.3     Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     Clayey SAND   SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -   -     Silty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8   -     Sandy CLAY   SP   -   -   -     SAND   SP   7.1   116.8   -		4.5	Clayey SAND	SW-SC	1.6	119.2	6.8																																																																																																								
Silty SAID SM 9.7 -   Sandy CLAY CL 13.2 134.3   Sandy CLAY CL 13.2 134.3   Clayey SAND SC 16.0 109.8   Clayey SAND SP-SC 9.0 119.3   Sandy CLAY CL 30.4 86.3   Sandy CLAY CL 7.2 127.8   Sandy CLAY CH - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SP 7.1 116.8		9	Silty SAND	SP-SM	7.1	108.8																																																																																																									
Sandy CLAY CL 13.2 134.3   Clayey SAND SC 16.0 109.8   Clayey SAND SC 9.0 119.3   Clayey SAND SP-SC 9.0 119.3   Sandy CLAY CL 30.4 86.3   Sandy CLAY CL 30.4 86.3   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SC - -   Sandy CLAY SH 7.2 127.8   Sandy CLAY SH 7.1 116.8		8	Silty SAND	WS	9.7	•																																																																																																									
Clayey SAND   SC   16.0   109.8     Clayey SAND   SP-SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -         Sandy CLAY   SMD   SP   7.1   116.8		6	Sandy CLAY	CL	13.2	134.3																																																																																																									
Clayey SAND   SP-SC   9.0   119.3     Sandy CLAY   CL   30.4   86.3     Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Stilty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8     Sandy CLAY   SM   -   -     Sandy CLAY   SP   7.1   116.8		1.5	Clayey SAND	sc	16.0	109.8																																																																																																									
5     Sandy CIAY     CL     30.4     86.3       4     Sandy CIAY     SC     -     -     -       4     Sandy CIAY     SC     -     -     -     -       4     Stilty SAND     SM     7.2     127.8     -     -       5     Sandy CIAY     CR     -     -     -     -     -       Sandy CLAY     SP     7.1     116.8     -     -     -     -		. 3.5	Clayey SAND	SP-SC	0*6	119.3																																																																																																									
4   Sandy CLAY   SC   -   -     Stilty SAND   SM   7.2   127.8     Sandy CLAY   CH   -   -   -     Sandy CLAY   CH   -   -   -     SAND   SP   7.1   116.8		4.5	Sandy CLAY	CL	30.4	86.3																																																																																																									
silty SAND SM 7.2 127.8 Sandy CLAY CH		1-4	Sandy CLAY	SC	,		6.8																																																																																																								
Sandy CLAY CH		п	Silty SAND	WS	7.2	127.8	6.8																																																																																																								
SAND SP 7.1 116.8		13	Sandy CLAY	CH	•	ł	6.8																																																																																																								
		14	SAND	SP	1.7	116.8	6.8																																																																																																								



# LABORATORY TEST RESULTS BY NMG (2012a & b)

### FOR PADS B & C AT FASHION ISLAND

Sample	Compacted Moisture (%)	Compacted Dry Density (pcf)	Final Moisture (%)	Volumetric Swell (%)	Inc	nsion lex ¹ Method	Expansive Classification ²	Soluble Sulfate (%)	Sulfate Exposure ³
HS-3 B-1 0-5'	8.6	114.8	13.1	2.8	28	В	Low	.05	Negligible
HS-12 B-1 0-5'	7.5	113.4	12.3	0	0	в	Very Low	0.05	Negligible
HS-13 B-1 0-5'	10.6	112.1	15.7	0.2	5	В	Very Low	0.05	Negligible
Test Method: ASTM D4829 / 1 18-2 HACH SF-1 (Tu		[A] E.I. [B] E.I. 2. 1997 U	determined to calculated ba JBC Table 1	sed on measur	iter contr ed satura	ent to ac ation wit	hieve a $50 \pm 1\%$ hin the range of	40% and 60	%
Expansion and Solu Sulfate Test Res (FRM001 Rev.)	ble e ults	Project No. <u>0</u> Project Name: <u>F</u>	8034-01 and I Eastside	-03				//////////////////////////////////////	



Symbol	Boring Number	Depth (feet)	Sample Number	Passing No. 200 Sieve (%)	LL	PI	USCS	Description
0	HS-3	2.0	B-1	31	NP	NP	SM	(Af) Dark Brown Silty SAND
	HS-13	2.0	B-1	27	NP	NP	SM	(Af) Brown Silty SAND
		1.			_		1	
-		1		· · · · ·			-	
		1		1				
		41 22 1			1			
		1		5000				
1							-	
		-	1000			1 1		

PLASTICITY CHART Fashion Island/Eastside



NMG Geotechnical, Inc.

Newport Beach, California PROJECT NO. 08034-01

Template: NMATT: Prj ID: 08034-01.GPJ; Printed: 1/26/15



Template: NMSIV; Prj ID: 08034-01.GPJ; Printed: 1/26/15



NMG Geotechnical, Inc.

Template: NMDS; Prj ID: 08034-01.GPJ, Printed 2/27/12



NMG Geotechnical, Inc.

Fashion Island/Eastside

Newport Beach, California PROJECT NO. 08034-01

Template: NMDS; Prj ID: 08034-01.GPJ; Printed: 7/9/12



Newport Beach, California PROJECT NO. 08034-01



NMG Geotechnical, Inc.

Template: NMDS: Pri ID: 08034-01.GPJ: Printed: 7/9/12



NMG Geotechnical, Inc.

Template: NMCONS; Prj ID: 08034-01 GPJ; Printed: 2/27/12



Template: NMCONS; Prj ID: 08034-01.GPJ; Printed: 7/9/12



Template: NMCONS; Prj ID: 08034-01.GPJ; Printed: 7/9/12



Template: NMCOMP; Prj ID: 08034-01 GPJ, Printed: 2/27/12



Template: NMCOMP: Pri ID: 08034-01.GPJ: Printed: 7/6/12



1 A A

Template: NMCOMP: Pri ID: 08034-01.GPJ: Printed: 7/6/12

### LABORATORY TEST RESULTS BY

### G.A. NICOLL & ASSOCIATES (1972)

### FOR SIX PROPOSED OFFICE BUILDINGS







Project 1010

#### DIRECT SHEAR TEST RESULTS

Sec

Boring Number	B-3	B-6	B-10
Sample Depth, Feet	2	2	5
Soil Classification	SM	SM	CL/SM
Normal Stress 1000 PSF Shear Stress, PSF	1016	508	508
Normal Stress 3000 PSF Shear Stress, PSF	2016	1760	1251
Normal Stress 8000 PSF Shear Stress, PSF	563	4653	3461
Angle of Internal Friction, Degrees	27-1/2	30-1/2	23
Apparent Cohesion, PSF	500	0	75

Table 1

G. A. NICOLL & ASSOCIATES . EARTH SCIENCE CONSULTANTS

41

### LABORATORY TEST RESULTS BY

### MOORE & TABER (1975)

### FOR GLENDALE FEDERAL BANK

	-				
LOUKING NE / SAMPLE NE	+	7/7	3/1	3/2	
DESCRIPTION		Light Brown fine SILTY SAND	Yellow-brown SANDY SILT	Light Brown SANDY SILT	
UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION	+	SM	ML	TW	
MECHANICAL ANALYSIS	-	and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s			
Passing Nº 200 sieve	%		and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second sec		n den men i nin nin nin nin nin nin nin nin nin
ATTERBERG LIMITS	-				
S.Liquid Limit	%				
Plastic Limit	%			and and provide the provided the second second second second second second second second second second second s	a na anna an anna an anna anna anna an
Plastic Index	%			and and a second of the second second second second second second second second second second second second se	termine in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second
COMPACTION TEST (ASTM DI557-667)	67)				
Maximum Density (Ibs/cu.ft)	101				
Optimum Moisture	%				
EXPANSION TEST (1) (4)	-	INDEX		INDEX	
Initial Dry Density (1bs./cu.ft.)	_	109.2		101.9	and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se
Initial Moisture	%	10.0		12.0	
Confining Pressure (Ibs./sq.ft.)		144		144	
Expansion Index		0		21	
DIRECT SHEAR TEST (17/08)	2		UNDISTURBED		
Initial Moisture Content	%		23.8 23.8 23.8		
Test Moisture Content	26		TURATE		
Normal Stress (Ibs./sq.ft.)	11		990 1980 2970		
Peak Shear Stress (Ibs./sq.ft.)	(1)		1610 2260 3680		
Ultimate Shear Stress (Ibs./sq.ft.)	5		2090		
Angle of Internal Frictian (degrees)	85)		(ult.)		
Cohesion (Ibs/sq.ft.)	1		250 (ult.)		



MOORE & TABER CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND GEOLOUISIS

#### RESISTANCE VALUES

Moisture Content (%)	Dry Density (p.c.f.)	Exudation Pressure (p.s.1.)	Expansion Dial (x1.0 ⁻⁴ )	Stabilometer 'R' Value
10.0	101 1	100		
12.8	121.1	400 215	0	45 38
14.6	116.5	175	0	28

Job No. 175-507 - January 31, 1975

.

A-7

### LABORATORY TEST RESULTS BY

### SOILS INTERNATIONAL (1988)

### FOR EDWARDS THEATER









## APPENDIX D

### **USGS** Design Maps Detailed Report

ASCE 7-10 Standard (33.612°N, 117.875°W)

Site Class D - "Stiff Soil", Risk Category I/II/III

Section 11.4.1 — Mapped Acceleration Parameters

Note: Ground motion values provided below are for the direction of maximum horizontal spectral response acceleration. They have been converted from corresponding geometric mean ground motions computed by the USGS by applying factors of 1.1 (to obtain  $S_s$ ) and 1.3 (to obtain  $S_1$ ). Maps in the 2010 ASCE-7 Standard are provided for Site Class B. Adjustments for other Site Classes are made, as needed, in Section 11.4.3.

S ₅ = 1.681 g
S ₁ = 0.615 g

Section 11.4.2 — Site Class

The authority having jurisdiction (not the USGS), site-specific geotechnical data, and/or the default has classified the site as Site Class D, based on the site soil properties in accordance with Chapter 20.

Table	20.3-1 Site Classification		
Site Class	$\overline{v}_{s}$	N or N _{ch}	<u> </u>
A. Hard Rock	>5,000 ft/s	N/A	N/A
B. Rock	2,500 to 5,000 ft/s	N/A	N/A
C. Very dense soil and soft rock	1,200 to 2,500 ft/s	>50	>2,000 psf
D, Stiff Soil	600 to 1,200 ft/s	15 to 50	1,000 to 2,000 psf
E. Soft clay soil	<600 ft/s	<15	<1,000 psf
	Any profile with more than characteristics; • Plasticity index PI • Moisture content w • Undrained shear s	> 20, ⁄ ≥ 40%, and	
F. Soils requiring site response	Sec	e Section 20.3.	L

analysis in accordance with Section 21.1

For SI:  $1ft/s = 0.3048 \text{ m/s} 1lb/ft^2 = 0.0479 \text{ kN/m}^2$ 

Section 11.4.3 — Site Coefficients and Risk–Targeted Maximum Considered Earthquake ( $MCE_R$ ) Spectral Response Acceleration Parameters

Site Class	Mapped M	CE _R Spectral R	esponse Accele Period	ration Paramet	er at Short
	S ₅ ≤ 0.25	S _S = 0.50	S _S = 0.75	$S_{s} = 1.00$	S _s ≥ 1.25
А	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
в	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
С	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0
D	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0
Е	2.5	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.9
F		See Se	ction 11.4.7 of	ASCE 7	
No			ation for intern I S _s = 1.681 g,	- 25 AT	of S _s
			Site Coefficient I		
Site Class	Mapped M	MCE _R Spectral I	Response Accel Period	eration Parame	eter at 1-s

Table 11.4-1: Site Coefficient F_a

Site Class	Mapped M	1CE _R Spectral I	Response Accel Period	eration Parame	eter at 1-s
	S ₁ ≤ 0.10	S ₁ = 0.20	S ₁ = 0.30	$S_1 = 0.40$	$S_1 \ge 0.50$
Α	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
в	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
С	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3
D	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.5
Е	3.5	3.2	2.8	2,4	2.4
F		See Se	ction 11.4.7 of	ASCE 7	

Note: Use straight-line interpolation for intermediate values of  $S_1$ 

For Site Class = D and  $\rm S_{1}$  = 0.615 g,  $\rm F_{v}$  = 1.500

Equation (11.4-1):

 $S_{MS} = F_a S_S = 1.000 \times 1.681 = 1.681 g$ 

Equation (11.4-2):

 $S_{M1} = F_v S_1 = 1.500 \times 0.615 = 0.922 g$ 

Section 11.4.4 — Design Spectral Acceleration Parameters

Equation (11.4-3):

Equation (11.4-4):

 $S_{D1} = \frac{2}{3} S_{M1} = \frac{2}{3} \times 0.922 = 0.615 g$ 

 $S_{DS} = \frac{2}{3} S_{MS} = \frac{2}{3} \times 1.681 = 1.120 \text{ g}$ 

Section 11.4.5 — Design Response Spectrum

From Figure 22-12^[3]

 $T_L = 8$  seconds



Section 11.4.6 — Risk-Targeted Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE_R) Response Spectrum

The MCE_R Response Spectrum is determined by multiplying the design response spectrum above by 1.5.



Section 11.8.3 — Additional Geotechnical Investigation Report Requirements for Seismic Design Categories D through F

From	Figure	22-7 [4]
------	--------	----------

PGA = 0.685

Equation (11.8–1):

 $PGA_{M} = F_{PGA}PGA = 1.000 \times 0.685 = 0.685 g$ 

Site	Mapped	MCE Geometric	: Mean Peak Gr	ound Accelerat	ion, PGA
Class	PGA ≤ 0.10	PGA = 0.20	PGA = 0.30	PGA = 0.40	PGA ≥ 0.50
А	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
в	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
с	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0
D	1,6	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0
E	2.5	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.9
F		See Se	ction 11.4.7 of	ASCE 7	

Note: Use straight-line interpolation for intermediate values of PGA

For Site Class = D and PGA = 0.685 g,  $F_{PGA}$  = 1.000

Section 21.2.1.1 — Method 1 (from Chapter 21 – Site-Specific Ground Motion Procedures for Seismic Design)

From Figure 22-17 [5]

 $C_{RS} = 0.909$ 

From Figure 22-18^[6]

 $C_{R1} = 0.930$ 

#### Section 11.6 — Seismic Design Category

VALUE OF S _{DS}	RISK CATEGORY			
	I or II	III	IV	
S _{DS} < 0.167g	А	А	А	
$0.167g \le S_{DS} < 0.33g$	В	В	С	
$0.33g \le S_{DS} < 0.50g$	С	с	D	
0.50g ≤ S _{DS}	D	D	D	

Table 11.6-1 Seismic Design Category Based on Short Period Response Acceleration Parameter

For Risk Category = I and  $S_{os}$  = 1.120 g, Seismic Design Category = D

Table 11.6-2 Seismic Design Category Based on 1-S Period Respon	e Acceleration Parameter
-----------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------

VALUE OF SD1	RISK CATEGORY		
	I or II	III	IV
S _{D1} < 0.067g	A	А	A
$0.067g \le S_{D1} < 0.133g$	В	В	C
$0.133g \le S_{p1} < 0.20g$	с	С	D
0.20g ≤ S _{D1}	D	D	D

For Risk Category = I and So1 = 0.615 g, Seismic Design Category = D

Note: When  $S_1$  is greater than or equal to 0.75g, the Seismic Design Category is **E** for buildings in Risk Categories I, II, and III, and **F** for those in Risk Category IV, irrespective of the above.

Seismic Design Category  $\equiv$  "the more severe design category in accordance with Table 11.6-1 or 11.6-2" = D

Note: See Section 11.6 for alternative approaches to calculating Seismic Design Category.

#### References

- Figure 22-1: http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-1.pdf
- Figure 22-2: http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-2.pdf
- Figure 22-12: http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-12.pdf
- 4. Figure 22-7: http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-7.pdf
- Figure 22-17: http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-17.pdf
- Figure 22-18: http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-18.pdf
*** Deaggregation of Seismic Hazard at One Period of Spectral Accel. *** *** Data from U.S.G.S. National Seismic Hazards Mapping Project, 2008 version *** PSHA Deaggregation. %contributions. site: 150 Newport Cen long: 117.875 W., lat: 33.612 N. Vs30(m/s) = 260.0 (some WUS atten. models use Site Class not Vs30). NSHMP 2007-08 See USGS OFR 2008-1128. dM=0.2 below Return period: 2475 yrs. Exceedance PGA =0.6372 g. Weight * Computed Rate Ex 0.404E-03 #Pr[at least one eq with median motion>=PGA in 50 yrs]=0.00391 #This deaggregation corresponds to Mean Hazard w/all GMPEs DIST(KM) MAG(MW) ALL EPS EPSILON>2 1<EPS<2 0<EPS<1 -1<EPS<0 -2<EPS<-1 EPS<-2 6.3 5.05 1.100 0.611 0.489 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 12.7 5.05 0.141 0.141 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.3 5.20 1.211 0.000 2.225 1.014 0.000 0.000 0.000 12.9 5.20 0.375 0.375 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.4 5.40 2.182 0.809 1.268 0.105 0.000 0.000 13.1 5.40 0.514 0.514 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.5 5.60 2.010 0.645 1.156 0.209 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.633 13.3 5.60 0.641 0.008 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.745 0.230 6.5 5.80 0.467 1.048 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.80 0.717 0.673 0.000 13.5 0.044 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.1 6.02 2.237 0.638 0.199 0.000 1.401 0.000 0.000 14.3 5.99 0.000 0.687 0.612 0.075 0.000 0.000 0.000 23.2 6.01 0.078 0.078 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.4 6.20 2.859 0.725 1.885 0.249 0.000 0.000 0.000 14.6 6.20 0.859 0.693 0.166 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 24.1 0.135 6.21 0.135 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.5 6.40 2.699 0.545 1.788 0.365 0.000 0.000 0.000 14.5 0.000 6.40 1.021 0.692 0.330 0.000 0.000 0.000 24.9 6.41 0.213 0.213 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 32.9 6.41 0.116 0.116 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.61 6.137 5.4 14.179 1.326 6.369 0.347 0.000 0.000 0.542 0.339 13.4 6.60 0.202 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 25.6 6.60 0.240 0.240 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 34.2 6.59 0.288 0.288 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.2 16.718 1.556 0.000 0.000 6.80 7.539 7.028 0.594 6.79 13.6 0.573 0.315 0.258 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.79 0.280 0.279 25.2 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.78 0.739 0.739 0.000 0.000 34.6 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.97 5.1 19.615 1.679 8.445 8.435 1.048 0.007 0.000 13.6 6.98 0.368 0.172 0.193 0.003 0.000 0.000 0.000 24.3 7.02 0.461 0.389 0.072 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 34.0 6.98 0.735 0.780 0.045 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 45.5 7.00 0.096 0.096 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.1 7.15 9.071 0.809 3.756 3.735 0.753 0.018 0.000 0.085 13.1 7.16 0.030 0.052 0.004 0.000 0.000 0.000 23.6 7.20 0.694 0.486 0.207 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 35.3 7.20 0.695 0.574 0.121 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 4.5 7.39 4.252 0.434 1.888 1.723 0.205 0.003 0.000 23.5 7.36 0.857 0.487 0.370 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 35.9 7.37 0.416 0.330 0.086 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 75.7 7.42 0.074 0.074 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 4.1 7.55 2.356 0.275 1.314 0.731 0.036 0.000 0.000 7.58 23.6 0.353 0.179 0.174 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 35.4 7.57 0.346 0.268 0.078 0.000 0.000 0.000 45.4 7.57 0.150 0.127 0.022 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 75.6 7.60 0.146 0.146 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.067 83.0 7.56 0.067 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 4.1 7.71 0.353 0.036 0.164 0.139 0.014 0.000 0.000 23.5 7.74 0.803 0.355 0.448 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 35.0 7.76 0.489 0.354 0.135 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 45.5 7.74 0.137 0.108 0.029 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 74.3 7.80 0.143 0.143 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000

83.1 7.77 0.143 0.143 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 23.5 7.91 0.100 0.041 0.060 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.93 0.056 0.038 0.019 0.000 0.000 35.1 0.000 0.000 83.1 7.98 0.286 0.286 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Summary statistics for above PSHA PGA deaggregation, R=distance, e=epsilon: Contribution from this GMPE(%): 100.0 9.0 km; M= 6.71; eps0= 0.79. Mean calculated for all sources. Mean src-site R= 5.1 km; M= 6.97; eps0= 0.33 from peak (R,M) bin Modal src-site R= MODE R*= 5.0km; M*= 6.97; EPS.INTERVAL: 1 to 2 sigma % CONTRIB.= 8.445 Principal sources (faults, subduction, random seismicity having > 3% contribution) Source Category: % contr. R(km) M epsilon0 (mean values). California B-faults Char 42.73 6.9 7.06 0.53 6.5 6.78 0.43 California B-faults GR 28.33 7.36 2.21 California A-faults 4.22 46.0 9.0 CA Compr. crustal gridded 24.71 5.90 1.39 Individual fault hazard details if its contribution to mean hazard > 2%: Fault ID % contr. Rcd(km) M epsilon0 Site-to-src azimuth(d) Newport-Inglewood (Offshore) Cha 11.05 4.1 6.88 0.83 -139.3 5.4 5.4 7.15 4.6 7.15 2.13 Newport-Inglewood, alt 1 Char 0.75 -85.1 2.39 0.68 Newport-Inglewood, alt 2 Char -98.2 San Joaquin Hills Char 18.56 5.4 6.97 0.04 4.3 4.1 Newport Inglewood Connected alt 2.46 7.50 0.53 -139.3 7.50 0.53 Newport Inglewood Connected alt 2.45 4.1 -139.3 Newport-Inglewood (Offshore) GR 2.39 7.6 6.71 1.15 -176.7 20.28 San Joaquin Hills GR 5.5 6.73 0.16 5.6 #*********End of deaggregation corresponding to Mean Hazard w/all GMPEs ********## PSHA Deaggregation. %contributions. site: 150 Newport Cen long: 117.875 W., lat: 33.612 N. Vs30(m/s) = 260.0 (some WUS atten, models use Site Class not Vs30). NSHMP 2007-08 See USGS OFR 2008-1128. dM=0.2 below Return period: 2475 yrs. Exceedance PGA =0.6372 g. Weight * Computed Rate Ex 0.144E-03 #Pr[at least one eq with median motion>=PGA in 50 yrs]=0.00045 #This deaggregation corresponds to Boore-Atkinson 2008 DIST(KM) MAG(MW) ALL EPS EPSILON>2 1<EPS<2 0<EPS<1 -1<EPS<0 +2<EPS<-1 EPS<-2 0.194 0.186 5.05 0.008 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.9 0.000 5.20 0.413 0.357 0.056 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.0 0.000 6.1 5.40 0.428 0.341 0.088 0.000 0.000 0.000 12.9 5.41 0.038 0.038 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.2 5.60 0.423 0.323 0.099 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.083 0.083 0.000 0.000 0.000 13.4 5.61 0.000 0.000 6.3 5.80 0.400 0.291 0.109 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.132 0.132 13.8 5.80 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.02 0.544 0.367 0.177 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.7 6.00 0.164 0.000 0.000 0.000 14.8 0.164 0.000 0.000 23.8 6.01 0.040 0.040 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.224 0.000 7.0 6.20 0.696 0.472 0.000 0.000 0.000 15.2 6.20 0.237 0.237 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 24.6 0.000 0.000 6.21 0.079 0.079 0.000 0.000 0.000 33.0 6.23 0.026 0.026 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.2 6.40 0.651 0.409 0.242 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.298 15.1 6.40 0.298 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 25.4 6.41 0.131 0.131 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 32.9 6.41 0.112 0.112 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.3 0.000 6.61 4.204 0.566 2.243 1.395 0.000 0.000 6.60 0.254 0.195 0.059 0.000 0.000 0.000 13.8 0.000 25.7 6.60 0.207 0.207 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.59 0.287 0.287 0.000 0.000 34.2 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.79 5.230 0.631 2.829 1.770 0.000 0.000 5.1 0.000

14.1	6.79	0.285	0.196	0.088	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
25.3	6.79	0.237	0.237	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
34.6	6.78	0.733	0.733	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
45.4	6.79	0.044	0.044	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
56.8	6.77	0.018	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
5.0	6.97	6.459	0.713	3.601	2.102	0.043	0.000	0.000
14.1	6.99	0.198	0.109	0.088	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
24.3	7.02	0.383	0.317	0.066	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
34.0	6.98							
45.5		0.754	0.709	0.045	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	7.00	0.095	0.095	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
57.3		0.023	0.023	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
5.1	7.15	2.988	0.301	1.442	1.168	0.077	0.000	0.000
13.5	7.16	0.051	0.016	0.032	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000
23.6	1.4 44 44	0.567	0.380	0.187	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
35.3	7.20	0.644	0.523	0.120	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
45.3	7.19	0.030	0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
57.7	7.20	0.032	0.032	0.000	0,000	0.000	0.000	0.000
74.8	7.25	0.034	0.034	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
4.4	7.42	2.155	0.234	1.254	0.660	0.007	0.000	0.000
23.5	7.37	0.680	0.366	0.313	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
35.9	7.37	0.381	0.295	0.086	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
45.2	7.40	0.027	0.026	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
57.6	7.34	0.037	0.037	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
75.7	7.42	0.074	0.074	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
83.3	7.38	0.026	0.026	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
4.1	7.60	0.446	0.063	0.250	0.133	0.000	0.000	0.000
23.7	7.59	0.242	0.107	0.134	0.000			
						0.000	0.000	0.000
35.4	7.57	0.306	0.228	0.078	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
45.4	7.57	0.141	0.119	0.022	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
75.6	7.60	0.146	0.146	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
83.0	7.56	0.067	0.067	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
4.1	7.71	0.141	0.015	0.077	0.049	0.000	0.000	0.000
23.5	7.74	0.549	0.207	0.342	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
34.9	7.76	0.410	0.277	0.133	0.000	0,000	0.000	0.000
45.5	7.74	0.125	0.096	0.029	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
74.3	7.80	0.143	0.143	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
83.1	7.77	0.143	0.143	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
23.5	7.92	0.043	0.018	0.025	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
35.3	7.93	0.039	0.025	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
83.1	7.98	0.282	0.282	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
82.5	8.20	0.030	0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
						, R=distan		
Contribut	ion from	this GMP	E(%): 3	35.6				all source
Modal src	-site R=	5.0 k	m: M = 6.0	97: ens0=	0.64 F	rom peak (	R M) hin	dir Source
						gma % CON		.601
		(faults,						ntribution)
Source Ca			苦			1 epsilo		values).
Californi	A state of the second			16.25		.09 0.8		
Californi						.82 0.8		
Californi						.34 2.2		
CA Compr.						.02 1.6		
Fault ID		hazard de			ibution ( Rcd(km)	to mean ha M epsil	zard > 2% on0 Site-	
azimuth(d		Incorrect						
Newport-I						.88 0.9		
Newport-I				0.90		.15 0.8		
Newport-T		, alt 2 C	har	0.97	4.6 7	.15 0.8	0 -98	.2
	1	Char		5.00	5.4 6	.97 0.3	4 4	.3
San Joaqu				0.00				
			d alt			.50 0.6		

Newport-Inglewood (Offshore) GR 1.06 8.6 6.71 1.33 -176.7 San Joaquin Hills GR 5.51 5.5 6.73 0.42 5.6 #********End of deaggregation corresponding to Boore-Atkinson 2008 PSHA Deaggregation. %contributions. site: 150 Newport Cen long: 117.875 W., lat: 33.612 N. Vs30(m/s) = 260.0 (some WUS atten. models use Site Class not Vs30). NSHMP 2007-08 See USGS OFR 2008-1128. dM=0.2 below Return period: 2475 yrs. Exceedance PGA =0.6372 g, Weight * Computed Rate Ex 0.675E-04 #Pr[at least one eq with median motion>=PGA in 50 yrs]=0.00211 #This deaggregation corresponds to Campbell-Bozorgnia 2008 DIST(KM) MAG(MW) ALL EPS EPSILON>2 1<EPS<2 0<EPS<1 -1<EPS<0 -2<EPS<-1 EPS<-2 5.05 0.162 0.162 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.2 5.20 0.394 0.338 6.3 0.056 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 12.3 5.22 0.019 0.019 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.40 0.477 0.365 0.112 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.4 0.000 12.7 5.41 0.061 0.061 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.60 0.000 0.334 0.136 0.000 0.000 6.5 0.469 0.000 0.000 13.0 5.60 0.102 0.102 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.391 0.265 6.6 5.80 0.126 0.000 0.000 0.000 13.2 5.80 0.113 0.113 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.455 0.349 0.000 0.000 6.01 0.000 7.1 0.106 0.000 0.000 13.9 5.99 0.106 0.106 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.4 6.20 0.596 0.454 0.142 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.138 0.138 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 14.1 6.20 0.000 7.5 6.40 0.612 0.401 0.211 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 14.1 6.40 0.176 0.176 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 24.1 6.42 0.016 0.016 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.4 6.61 3.309 0.362 1.355 1.526 0.067 0.000 0.000 13.4 6.60 0.059 0.056 0.003 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 25.3 6.60 0.010 0.010 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.077 0.000 5.4 6.80 3.396 0.389 1.404 1.525 0.000 1.405 0.003 0.005 0.000 0.000 1.714 0.000 13.6 6.80 0.059 0.056 0.000 0.000 6.78 0.000 0.000 25.7 0.010 0.010 0.000 0.000 0.368 1.714 0.162 5.4 6.96 3.560 0.000 0.000 0.000 13.5 6.95 0.028 0.026 0.002 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.13 1.602 0.567 0.708 5.4 0.168 0.159 0.000 0.000 0.308 4.7 7.38 0.096 0.137 0.061 0.014 0.000 0.000 7.61 0.041 0.018 0.022 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 4.1 0.014 0.006 0.008 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.71 4.1 Summary statistics for above PSHA PGA deaggregation, R=distance, e=epsilon: Contribution from this GMPE(%): 16.7 Mean src-site R= 6.2 km; M= 6.59; eps0= 0.72. Mean calculated for all sources. Modal src-site R= 5.4 km; M= 6.96; eps0= 0.35 from peak (R,M) bin MODE R*= 5.4km; M*= 6.95; EPS.INTERVAL: 1 to 2 sigma % CONTRIB.= 1.714 Principal sources (faults, subduction, random seismicity having > 3% contribution) Source Category: % contr. R(km) M epsilon0 (mean values). 6.99 California B-faults Char 5.99 5.4 0.43 5.4 6.73 8.1 5.94 5.90 California B-faults GR 0.34 CA Compr. crustal gridded 4.84 1.53 Individual fault hazard details if its contribution to mean hazard > 2%: % contr. Rcd(km) M epsilon0 Site-to-src Fault ID azimuth(d) Newport-Inglewood (Offshore) Cha 0.37 4.1 6.90 1.92 -139.3 5.4 0.08 7.15 1.76 -85.1 Newport-Inglewood, alt 1 Char 4.6 7.15 1.69 -98.2 Newport-Inglewood, alt 2 Char 0.10 5.22 5.4 6.97 0.23 San Joaquin Hills Char 4.3 Newport Inglewood Connected alt 0.10 4.1 7.50 1.61 -139.3 Newport Inglewood Connected alt 0.10 4.1 7.50 1.61 -139.3 Newport-Inglewood (Offshore) GR 0.01 5.1 6.71 2.52 -176.7

San Joaquin Hills GR 5.85 5.6 5.5 6.72 0.32 #********End of deaggregation corresponding to Campbell-Bozorgnia 2008 *******# PSHA Deaggregation. %contributions. site: 150 Newport Cen long: 117.875 W., lat: 33.612 N. Vs30(m/s) = 260.0 (some WUS atten. models use Site Class not Vs30). NSHMP 2007-08 See USGS OFR 2008-1128. dM=0.2 below Return period: 2475 yrs. Exceedance PGA =0.6372 g. Weight * Computed Rate Ex 0.192E-03 #Pr[at least one eq with median motion>=PGA in 50 yrs]=0.00923 #This deaggregation corresponds to Chiou-Youngs 2008 DIST(KM) MAG(MW) ALL_EPS EPSILON>2 1<EPS<2 0<EPS<1 -1<EPS<0 -2<EPS<-1 EPS<-2 0.744 0.000 0.000 6.4 5.05 0.586 0.158 0.000 0.000 12.8 5.05 0.138 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.5 5.20 1.418 0.976 0.441 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.345 13.0 5.20 0.345 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.276 5.40 0.740 0.000 0.536 0.000 6.5 0.000 0.000 5.40 13.2 0.415 0.415 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.118 0.567 6.6 5.60 0.551 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 13.4 5.60 0.456 0.456 0.000 0.000 5.80 0.954 0.437 0.517 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.6 0.000 13.6 5.80 0.471 0.471 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.3 6.02 1.239 0.605 0.634 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 14.2 5.99 0.417 0.412 0.005 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 22.7 6.01 0.034 0.034 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.6 6.20 1.567 0.705 0.862 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 14.4 6.20 0.484 0.452 0.032 0.000 0.048 23.4 6.21 0.048 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.436 7.7 6.40 0.532 0.901 0.002 0.000 0.000 0.000 14.3 6.40 0.547 0.482 0.065 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.066 0.066 23.9 6.41 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.576 5.3 6.575 2.693 0.000 6.61 3.027 0.280 0.000 0.000 12.9 6.60 0.229 0.162 0.000 0.067 0.000 0.000 0.000 24.3 6.61 0.024 0.024 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.2 0.516 0.000 6.79 8.039 0.641 3.225 3.657 0.000 13.1 6.79 0.229 0.156 0.073 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.79 0.033 0.033 24.6 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.1 6.96 9.328 0.645 3.461 4.372 0.843 0.007 0.000 13.0 6.98 0.142 0.086 0.055 0.002 0.000 0.000 0.000 24.3 7.03 0.069 0.066 0.003 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.1 12.5 0.518 2.117 7.15 4.932 0.368 1.911 0.018 0.000 7.16 0.035 0.019 0.013 0.002 0.000 0.000 0.000 23.5 0.000 7.20 0.129 0.106 0.022 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.045 0.000 35.1 7.18 0.045 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.39 4.4 2.267 0.167 0.874 1.038 0.184 0.003 0.000 0.000 7.35 0.120 0.057 0.000 0.000 23.5 0.177 0.000 35.9 7.36 0.036 0.036 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 7.55 4.1 1.351 0.128 0.653 0.534 0.036 0.000 0.000 7.56 0.111 23.5 0.000 0.072 0.000 0.040 0.000 0.000 7.57 0.040 0.000 0.000 35.3 0.040 0.000 0.000 0.000 4.1 7.71 0.198 0.015 0.079 0.091 0.000 0.000 0.014 23.5 7.74 0.272 0.149 0.123 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.079 35.2 7.76 0.077 0.002 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.037 0.019 0.018 0.000 0.000 23.5 7.91 0.000 0.000

Summary statistics for above PSHA PGA deaggregation, R=distance, e=epsilon: Contribution from this GMPE(%): 47.7

Principal sources (faults, subduction, random seismicity having > 3% contribution) Source Category: % contr. R(km) M epsilon0 (mean values).

California B-faults Char	20.50	5 6	7.06	0.29	
	12.86		6.79		
CA Compr. crustal gridded	14.11			1.26	
Individual fault hazard details if					4 5 98.
	contr.				
azimuth(d)	contr.	RCQ(KI	() M	epsilono	Site-to-src
Newport-Inglewood (Offshore) Cha	6.15	4.1	6.88	0.69	-139.3
Newport-Inglewood, alt 1 Char	1.15	5.4	7.15	0.61	-85.1
Newport-Inglewood, alt 2 Char	1.32	4.6	7.15	0.52	-98.2
San Joaquin Hills Char	8.34	5.4	6.97	-0.26	4.3
Newport Inglewood Connected alt	1.37	4.1	7.50	0.35	-139.3
Newport Inglewood Connected alt	1.37	4.1	7.50	0.35	-139.3
Newport-Inglewood (Offshore) GR	1.31	6.9	6.70	1.00	-176.7
San Joaquin Hills GR	8.92	5.5	6.73	-0.11	5.6
#*********End of deaggregation corr	espondi	ng to Ch	iou-Yo	ungs 2008	******
#********End of deaggregation cor					

# **APPENDIX E**

## APPENDIX E

## GENERAL EARTHWORK AND GRADING SPECIFICATIONS

#### 1.0 <u>General</u>

- 1.1 Intent: These General Earthwork and Grading Specifications are for the grading and earthwork shown on the approved grading plan(s) and/or indicated in the geotechnical report(s). These Specifications are a part of the recommendations contained in the geotechnical report(s). In case of conflict, the specific recommendations in the geotechnical report shall supersede these more general Observations of the earthwork by the project Geotechnical Specifications. Consultant during the course of grading may result in new or revised recommendations that could supersede these specifications or the recommendations in the geotechnical report(s).
- 1.2 <u>Geotechnical Consultant</u>: Prior to commencement of work, the owner shall employ a geotechnical consultant. The geotechnical consultant shall be responsible for reviewing the approved geotechnical report(s) and accepting the adequacy of the preliminary geotechnical findings, conclusions, and recommendations prior to the commencement of the grading.

Prior to commencement of grading, the Geotechnical Consultant shall review the "work plan" prepared by the Earthwork Contractor (Contractor) and schedule sufficient personnel to perform the appropriate level of observation, mapping, and compaction testing.

During the grading and earthwork operations, the Geotechnical Consultant shall observe, map, and document the subsurface exposures to verify the geotechnical design assumptions. If the observed conditions are found to be significantly different than the interpreted assumptions during the design phase, the Geotechnical Consultant shall inform the owner, recommend appropriate changes in design to accommodate the observed conditions, and notify the review agency where required. Subsurface areas to be geotechnically observed, mapped, elevations recorded, and/or tested include natural ground after it has been cleared for receiving fill but before fill is placed, bottoms of all "remedial removal" areas, all key bottoms, and benches made on sloping ground to receive fill.

The Geotechnical Consultant shall observe the moisture-conditioning and processing of the subgrade and fill materials and perform relative compaction testing of fill to determine the attained level of compaction. The Geotechnical Consultant shall provide the test results to the owner and the Contractor on a routine and frequent basis.

1.3 <u>The Earthwork Contractor</u>: The Earthwork Contractor (Contractor) shall be qualified, experienced, and knowledgeable in earthwork logistics, preparation and processing of ground to receive fill, moisture-conditioning and processing of fill, and compacting fill. The Contractor shall review and accept the plans, geotechnical report(s), and these Specifications prior to commencement of grading. The Contractor shall be solely responsible for performing the grading in accordance with the plans and specifications.

The Contractor shall prepare and submit to the owner and the Geotechnical Consultant a work plan that indicates the sequence of earthwork grading, the number of "spreads" of work and the estimated quantities of daily earthwork contemplated for the site prior to commencement of grading. The Contractor shall inform the owner and the Geotechnical Consultant of changes in work schedules and updates to the work plan at least 24 hours in advance of such changes so that appropriate observations and tests can be planned and accomplished. The Contractor shall not assume that the Geotechnical Consultant is aware of all grading operations.

The Contractor shall have the sole responsibility to provide adequate equipment and methods to accomplish the earthwork in accordance with the applicable grading codes and agency ordinances, these Specifications, and the recommendations in the approved geotechnical report(s) and grading plan(s). If, in the opinion of the Geotechnical Consultant, unsatisfactory conditions, such as unsuitable soil, improper moisture condition, inadequate compaction, insufficient buttress key size, adverse weather, etc., are resulting in a quality of work less than required in these specifications, the Geotechnical Consultant shall reject the work and may recommend to the owner that construction be stopped until the conditions are rectified.

#### 2.0 <u>Preparation of Areas to be Filled</u>

2.1 <u>Clearing and Grubbing</u>: Vegetation, such as brush, grass, roots, and other deleterious material shall be sufficiently removed and properly disposed of in a method acceptable to the owner, governing agencies, and the Geotechnical Consultant.

The Geotechnical Consultant shall evaluate the extent of these removals depending on specific site conditions. Earth fill material shall not contain more than 1 percent of organic materials (by volume). No fill lift shall contain more than 5 percent of organic matter. Nesting of the organic materials shall not be allowed.

If potentially hazardous materials are encountered, the Contractor shall stop work in the affected area, and a hazardous material specialist shall be informed immediately for proper evaluation and handling of these materials prior to continuing to work in that area.

As presently defined by the State of California, most refined petroleum products (gasoline, diesel fuel, motor oil, grease, coolant, etc.) have chemical constituents that are considered to be hazardous waste. As such, the indiscriminate dumping or spillage of these fluids onto the ground may constitute a misdemeanor, punishable by fines and/or imprisonment, and shall not be allowed.

- 2.2 <u>Processing</u>: Existing ground that has been declared satisfactory for support of fill by the Geotechnical Consultant shall be scarified to a minimum depth of 6 inches. Existing ground that is not satisfactory shall be overexcavated as specified in the following section. Scarification shall continue until soils are broken down and free of large clay lumps or clods and the working surface is reasonably uniform, flat, and free of uneven features that would inhibit uniform compaction.
- 2.3 <u>Overexcavation</u>: In addition to removals and overexcavations recommended in the approved geotechnical report(s) and the grading plan, soft, loose, dry, saturated, spongy, organic-rich, highly fractured or otherwise unsuitable ground shall be overexcavated to competent ground as evaluated by the Geotechnical Consultant during grading.
- 2.4 <u>Benching</u>: Where fills are to be placed on ground with slopes steeper than 5:1 (horizontal to vertical units), the ground shall be stepped or benched. Please see the Standard Details for a graphic illustration. The lowest bench or key shall be a minimum of 15 feet wide and at least 2 feet deep, into competent material as evaluated by the Geotechnical Consultant. Other benches shall be excavated a minimum height of 4 feet into competent material or as otherwise recommended by the Geotechnical Consultant. Fill placed on ground sloping flatter than 5:1 shall also be benched or otherwise overexcavated to provide a flat subgrade for the fill.
- 2.5 <u>Evaluation/Acceptance of Fill Areas</u>: All areas to receive fill, including removal and processed areas, key bottoms, and benches, shall be observed, mapped, elevations recorded, and/or tested prior to being accepted by the Geotechnical Consultant as suitable to receive fill. The Contractor shall obtain a written acceptance from the Geotechnical Consultant prior to fill placement. A licensed surveyor shall provide the survey control for determining elevations of processed areas, keys, and benches.

## 3.0 <u>Fill Material</u>

- 3.1 <u>General</u>: Material to be used as fill shall be essentially free of organic matter and other deleterious substances evaluated and accepted by the Geotechnical Consultant prior to placement. Soils of poor quality, such as those with unacceptable gradation, high expansion potential, or low strength shall be placed in areas acceptable to the Geotechnical Consultant or mixed with other soils to achieve satisfactory fill material.
- 3.2 <u>Oversize</u>: Oversize material defined as rock, or other irreducible material with a maximum dimension greater than 12 inches, shall not be buried or placed in fill unless location, materials, and placement methods are specifically accepted by the Geotechnical Consultant. Placement operations shall be such that nesting of oversized material does not occur and such that oversize material is completely surrounded by compacted or densified fill. Oversize material shall not be placed within 10 vertical feet of finish grade or within 2 feet of future utilities or underground construction.
- 3.3 <u>Import</u>: If importing of fill material is required for grading, proposed import material shall meet the requirements of Section 3.1. The potential import source shall be given to the Geotechnical Consultant at least 48 hours (2 working days) before importing begins so that its suitability can be determined and appropriate tests performed.
- 4.0 Fill Placement and Compaction
  - 4.1 <u>Fill Layers</u>: Approved fill material shall be placed in areas prepared to receive fill (per Section 3.0) in near-horizontal layers not exceeding 8 inches in loose thickness. The Geotechnical Consultant may accept thicker layers if testing indicates the grading procedures can adequately compact the thicker layers. Each layer shall be spread evenly and mixed thoroughly to attain relative uniformity of material and moisture throughout.
  - 4.2 <u>Fill Moisture Conditioning</u>: Fill soils shall be watered, dried back, blended, and/or mixed, as necessary to attain a relatively uniform moisture content at or slightly over optimum. Maximum density and optimum soil moisture content tests shall be performed in accordance with the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM Test Method D1557-91).
  - 4.3 <u>Compaction of Fill</u>: After each layer has been moisture-conditioned, mixed, and evenly spread, it shall be uniformly compacted to not less than 90 percent of maximum dry density (ASTM Test Method D1557-91). Compaction equipment shall be adequately sized and be either specifically designed for soil compaction or of proven reliability to efficiently achieve the specified level of compaction with uniformity.

- 4.4 <u>Compaction of Fill Slopes</u>: In addition to normal compaction procedures specified above, compaction of slopes shall be accomplished by backrolling of slopes with sheepsfoot rollers at increments of 3 to 4 feet in fill elevation, or by other methods producing satisfactory results acceptable to the Geotechnical Consultant. Upon completion of grading, relative compaction of the fill, out to the slope face, shall be at least 90 percent of maximum density per ASTM Test Method D1557-91.
- 4.5 <u>Compaction Testing</u>: Field tests for moisture content and relative compaction of the fill soils shall be performed by the Geotechnical Consultant. Location and frequency of tests shall be at the Consultant's discretion based on field conditions encountered. Compaction test locations will not necessarily be selected on a random basis. Test locations shall be selected to verify adequacy of compaction levels in areas that are judged to be prone to inadequate compaction (such as close to slope faces and at the fill/bedrock benches).
- 4.6 <u>Frequency of Compaction Testing</u>: Tests shall be taken at intervals not exceeding 2 feet in vertical rise and/or 1,000 cubic yards of compacted fill soils embankment. In addition, as a guideline, at least one test shall be taken on slope faces for each 5,000 square feet of slope face and/or each 10 feet of vertical height of slope. The Contractor shall assure that fill construction is such that the testing schedule can be accomplished by the Geotechnical Consultant. The Contractor shall stop or slow down the earthwork construction if these minimum standards are not met.
- 4.7 <u>Compaction Test Locations</u>: The Geotechnical Consultant shall document the approximate elevation and horizontal coordinates of each test location. The Contractor shall coordinate with the project surveyor to assure that sufficient grade stakes are established so that the Geotechnical Consultant can determine the test locations with sufficient accuracy. At a minimum, two grade stakes within a horizontal distance of 100 feet and vertically less than 5 feet apart from potential test locations shall be provided.

# 5.0 <u>Subdrain Installation</u>

Subdrain systems shall be installed in accordance with the approved geotechnical report(s), the grading plan, and the Standard Details. The Geotechnical Consultant may recommend additional subdrains and/or changes in subdrain extent, location, grade, or material depending on conditions encountered during grading. All subdrains shall be surveyed by a land surveyor/civil engineer for line and grade after installation and prior to burial. Sufficient time should be allowed by the Contractor for these surveys.

### 6.0 <u>Excavation</u>

Excavations, as well as over-excavation for remedial purposes, shall be evaluated by the Geotechnical Consultant during grading. Remedial removal depths shown on geotechnical plans are estimates only. The actual extent of removal shall be determined by the Geotechnical Consultant based on the field evaluation of exposed conditions during grading. Where fill-over-cut slopes are to be graded, the cut portion of the slope shall be made, evaluated, and accepted by the Geotechnical Consultant prior to placement of materials for construction of the fill portion of the slope, unless otherwise recommended by the Geotechnical Consultant.

#### 7.0 <u>Trench Backfills</u>

- 7.1 Contractor shall follow all OHSA and Cal/OSHA requirements for safety of trench excavations.
- 7.2 Bedding and backfill of utility trenches shall be done in accordance with the applicable provisions of Standard Specifications of Public Works Construction. Bedding material shall have a Sand Equivalent greater than 30 (SE>30). The bedding shall be placed to 1 foot over the top of the conduit and densified by jetting. Backfill shall be placed and densified to a minimum 90 percent of maximum from 1 foot above the top of the conduit to the surface, except in traveled ways (see Section 7.6 below).
- 7.3 Jetting of the bedding around the conduits shall be observed by the Geotechnical Consultant.
- 7.4 Geotechnical Consultant shall test the trench backfill for relative compaction. At least one test should be made for every 300 feet of trench and 2 feet of fill.
- 7.5 Lift thickness of trench backfill shall not exceed those allowed in the Standard Specifications of Public Works Construction unless the Contractor can demonstrate to the Geotechnical Consultant that the fill lift can be compacted to the minimum relative compaction by his alternative equipment and method.
- 7.6 Trench backfill in the upper foot measured from finish grade within existing or future traveled way, shoulder, and other paved areas (or areas to receive pavement) should be placed to a minimum 95 percent relative compaction.